

# K<sub>1</sub> OF A $p$ -ADIC GROUP RING I. THE DETERMINANTAL IMAGE.

T. CHINBURG, G. PAPPAS, AND M. J. TAYLOR

**Abstract:** We study the  $K$ -group  $K_1$  of the group ring of a finite group over a coefficient ring which is  $p$ -adically complete and admits a lift of Frobenius. In this paper, we consider the image of  $K_1$  under the determinant map; the central tool is the group logarithm which we can define using the Frobenius lift. Using this we prove a fixed point theorem for the determinantal image of  $K_1$ .

## CONTENTS

- §1. Introduction
- §2. Generalities
- §3. The group logarithm and determinants for  $p$ -groups
- §4. Descent and fixed points for  $p$ -groups
- §5. Character action and reduction to elementary groups
- §6. Determinants for elementary groups
- §7. Appendix: Torsion determinants

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this article we begin a two part study of the  $K$ -group  $K_1(R[G])$  of a group ring  $R[G]$  for a finite group  $G$ , where the coefficient ring  $R$  is a  $p$ -adically complete ring. The study of  $K_1$  for such group rings, in the case where  $R$  is a  $p$ -adic ring of integers, played a crucial role in understanding the Galois structure of rings of integers of tamely ramified extensions of number fields, and, in particular, in the solution of the Fröhlich conjecture. More recently there has been considerable interest in  $K_1$  of group rings with higher dimensional rings of coefficients in equivariant Iwasawa theory (see for instance [FK], [K] and [RW]). The present paper, however, is principally oriented towards the study of the equivariant Euler characteristics of torsors of finite groups over arithmetic schemes. The use of the results of this

---

*Date:* April 16, 2009.

Chinburg is supported by NSF Grant # DMS08-01030.

Pappas is supported by NSF Grant # DMS08-02686.

Taylor was in receipt of a Royal Society Wolfson Merit award for the majority of the period when this article was being written.

paper in this context will be discussed in more detail towards the end of this introductory section.

Recall that, for an arbitrary (unital) ring  $S$  by definition we know that  $K_1(S)$  sits in an exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow E(S) \rightarrow GL(S) \rightarrow K_1(S) \rightarrow 1$$

where  $GL(S) = \varinjlim GL_n(S)$  denotes the general linear group of  $S$  and  $E(S)$  denotes the subgroup of elementary matrices over  $S$ .

Throughout this paper  $R$  will always denote an integral domain of characteristic zero with field of fractions  $N$  and  $N^c$  will denote a chosen algebraic closure of  $N$ . We then have a map, which we denote  $\text{Det}$

$$(1.1) \quad \text{Det} : K_1(R[G]) \rightarrow K_1(N^c[G]) = \prod_{\chi} N^{c \times}$$

where the direct product extends over the irreducible  $N^c$ -valued characters of  $G$ . We write  $SK_1(R[G]) = \ker(\text{Det})$ , so that we have the exact sequence

$$(1.2) \quad 1 \rightarrow SK_1(R[G]) \rightarrow K_1(R[G]) \rightarrow \text{Det}(K_1(R[G])) \rightarrow 1.$$

We shall seek to understand  $K_1(R[G])$  by firstly studying  $\text{Det}(K_1(R[G]))$  in this paper (see Theorems 1.2, 1.4 and 1.5 below); then in [CPT1] we shall also use the results of this paper to study  $SK_1(R[G])$ . Our results for  $\text{Det}(K_1(R[G]))$  will be obtained by a generalization of the group logarithm, as developed in [T] (see additionally [CR2] and [F]). See also R. Oliver's sequence of papers [O1-4], which also contains his own independent description of the group logarithm.

We let  $\text{Det}(GL(R[G]))$  denote the image of  $GL(R[G])$  under the composition of surjective maps

$$GL(R[G]) \rightarrow K_1(R[G]) \rightarrow \text{Det}(K_1(R[G]))$$

so that by definition  $\text{Det}(GL(R[G])) = \text{Det}(K_1(R[G]))$ . We let  $\text{Det}(R[G]^\times)$  denote the subgroup of  $\text{Det}(GL(R[G]))$  given by the image, under  $\text{Det}$ , of the subgroup  $R[G]^\times = GL_1(R[G])$  of  $GL(R[G])$  where as usual we view  $R[G]^\times$  as embedded in  $GL(R[G])$  by mapping such elements into the leading diagonal position.

**Hypothesis:** Let  $p$  be a prime number. Throughout this paper, unless explicitly indicated to the contrary, we shall assume that:

- (i)  $R$  is an integral domain which is torsion free as an abelian group,
- (ii) the natural map  $R \rightarrow \varprojlim R/p^n R$  is an isomorphism, so that  $R$  is  $p$ -adically complete,
- (iii)  $R$  supports a lift of Frobenius, that is to say an endomorphism  $F = F_R : R \rightarrow R$  with the property that for all  $r \in R$

$$F(r) \equiv r^p \pmod{pR}.$$

Unless stated to the contrary, we shall extend  $F$  to an  $R$ -module endomorphism of  $R[G]$  by setting  $F(g) = g$  for all  $g \in G$ .

**Remark 1.1.** a) As  $R$  is  $p$ -adically complete, then, since  $R[G]$  is a free  $R$ -module of finite rank,  $R[G]$  is of course also  $p$ -adically complete. Note that for all  $s \in R$  the element  $1 - ps$  has inverse  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (ps)^n$  so that the Jacobson radical of  $R$  necessarily contains  $pR$ . If  $\{u_n\}$  is a  $p$ -adically convergent sequence of units converging to  $r$  in  $R[G]$ , then  $r$  will be congruent to  $u_n \bmod p$  for large  $n$  and so  $r$  is a unit; hence  $R[G]^{\times}$  is a  $p$ -adically closed subset of  $R[G]$ .

b) If  $R$  is a formally smooth Tate algebra or dagger algebra then  $R$  possesses a lift of Frobenius (e.g see Theorem A-1 on page 158 of [C]). The same is true for the Witt ring  $R = W(S)$  of a  $p$ -adic ring  $S$ . In fact, if  $S$  is  $p$ -adically complete and separated the same is true for  $R = W(S)$ . If in fact,  $S$  is a perfect integral domain of characteristic  $p$ , then  $R = W(S)$  satisfies our hypothesis.

Our first result is well known for semi-local rings (see Theorem 40.31 in [CR2]); we obtain this result for the very different class of rings  $R$  satisfying the above hypothesis:

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $R$  be as in the Hypothesis. The inclusion  $R[G] \subset \mathrm{GL}(R[G])$  induces an equality*

$$\mathrm{Det}(R[G]^{\times}) = \mathrm{Det}(\mathrm{GL}(R[G])) := \mathrm{Det}(K_1(R[G]))$$

in the following two circumstances:

- (a) when  $G$  is a  $p$ -group;
- (b) when  $G$  is an arbitrary finite group and when  $R$  is additionally Noetherian and normal.

In the paper [CPT1], and also in future applications, we shall be particularly interested in the completed  $K$ -groups

$$\widehat{K}_i(R[G]) = \varprojlim K_i(R/p^n R[G]).$$

From Proposition 1.5.1 in [FK] we know that, if all the quotient rings  $R/p^n R$  are finite, then the natural map  $K_1(R[G]) \rightarrow \widehat{K}_1(R[G])$  is an isomorphism. (See also the work of Wall in [W1] and [W2].) Let  $\widehat{SK}_1(R[G])$  denote the closure of  $SK_1(R[G])$  in  $\widehat{K}_1(R[G])$  and define

$$\widehat{\mathrm{Det}}(K_1(R[G])) = \varprojlim \frac{\mathrm{Det}(\mathrm{GL}(R[G]))}{\mathrm{Det}(1 + p^n M(R[G]))}.$$

**Proposition 1.3.** *The natural map*

$$\mathrm{Det}(K_1(R[G])) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathrm{Det}}(K_1(R[G]))$$

*is an isomorphism and the homomorphism  $\mathrm{Det}$  gives an exact sequence*

$$(1.3) \quad 1 \rightarrow \widehat{SK}_1(R[G]) \rightarrow \widehat{K}_1(R[G]) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathrm{Det}}(K_1(R[G])) \rightarrow 1.$$

The most important tool in our study of  $K_1$  for  $p$ -adic group rings is the group logarithm (defined in §3.a). We denote by  $G^{\mathrm{ab}}$  the abelianization of  $G$ . Also denote by  $I(R[G])$  the kernel of the augmentation map  $R[G] \rightarrow R$  and by  $\mathcal{A}(R[G])$  the kernel of the natural map from  $R[G]$  to  $R[G^{\mathrm{ab}}]$ . The following two results are both proved using this technique:

**Theorem 1.4.** *Let  $G$  be a  $p$ -group. Let  $C_G$  denote the set of conjugacy classes of  $G$  and let  $\phi : R[G] \rightarrow R[C_G]$  be the  $R$ -linear map induced by sending each element of  $G$  to its conjugacy class. The images  $\phi(\mathcal{A}(R[G]))$ ,  $\phi(I(R[G]))$  are finite free  $R$ -modules with  $\phi(\mathcal{A}(R[G])) \subset \phi(I(R[G])) \subset R[C_G]$ .*

a) *There is an exact sequence*

$$1 \rightarrow \phi(\mathcal{A}(R[G])) \xrightarrow{\mu} \text{Det}(R[G]^\times) \rightarrow R[G^{\text{ab}}]^\times \rightarrow 1$$

where the right-hand map is induced by passage to quotient and the left-hand map  $\mu$  is induced by the inverse of the group logarithm map described in Section 3.

b) *There is an exact sequence*

$$\text{Det}(R[G]^\times) \xrightarrow{\mu'} \phi(I(R[G])) \rightarrow \frac{R}{(1-F)R} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} G^{\text{ab}} \rightarrow 0.$$

where  $\mu'$  is induced by the group logarithm map. The composition  $\mu' \cdot \mu$  is the inclusion  $\phi(\mathcal{A}(R[G])) \hookrightarrow \phi(I(R[G]))$ .

For a number of our results which concern an arbitrary finite group  $G$  we shall need to impose the extra condition that  $R$  is a Noetherian, normal ring.

**Theorem 1.5.** *Let  $S$  be an integral domain which contains  $R$  and which also satisfies the standing Hypothesis. (But note that we do not need to suppose that  $F_{S|R} = F_R$ .) Suppose further that  $S$  and  $R$  are Noetherian normal rings; that  $S$  is a finitely generated free  $R$ -module; that the field of fractions of  $S$  is a Galois extension of  $N$  with Galois group  $\Delta$  which acts on  $S$  with the property that  $S^\Delta = R$ ; and that the trace map  $\text{Tr} : S \rightarrow R$  maps  $S$  onto  $R$ . Let  $G$  be an arbitrary finite group and let  $\Delta$  act coefficientwise on  $\text{Det}(S[G]^\times)$ ; then*

$$\text{Det}(\text{GL}(S[G]))^\Delta = \text{Det}(\text{GL}(R[G])).$$

This completes our brief summary of our results pertaining to the determinantal image of  $K_1(R[G])$ . Next we give a flavour of some forthcoming arithmetic applications of the above results. Consider a finite group  $G$ , a normal two dimensional scheme  $Y$  which is projective and flat over  $\text{Spec}(\mathbf{Z})$ , and a  $G$ -cover  $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$  with the property that  $X$  is a  $G$ -torsor over  $Y$ . To such a cover we can associate a projective Euler characteristic  $\text{R}\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  in  $\text{Cl}(\mathbf{Z}[G])$  the projective classgroup of  $\mathbf{Z}[G]$ . This class is constructed by finding a perfect  $\mathbf{Z}[G]$ -complex, which is quasi-isomorphic to a Cech complex for  $\mathcal{O}_X$ , which thus calculates the cohomology of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ . One then forms the alternating sum of the classes of the terms in the perfect complex; see [CE] for details. We now indicate briefly how the results of this paper may be used in studying such projective Euler characteristics. For ease of presentation we cast our discussion in terms of the Zariski topology, although in practice one will usually work with formal neighbourhoods.

Suppose that  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}$  is an affine cover of  $Y$ ; we write  $U_{ij} = U_i \cap U_j$ ,  $U_{ijk} = U_i \cap U_j \cap U_k$  and  $U_i = \text{Spec}(R_i)$ ,  $U_{ij} = \text{Spec}(R_{ij})$ ,  $U_{ijk} = \text{Spec}(R_{ijk})$ . Refining the cover  $\mathcal{U}$  if necessary,

we can find local bases  $\{e_i\}$  of  $(\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X)(U_i)$  over  $R_i[G]$ , so that

$$(\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X)(U_i) = \mathcal{O}_Y(U_i)[G]e_i = R_i[G]e_i;$$

we can then write  $e_i = \lambda_{ij}e_j$  with  $\lambda_{ij} \in R_{ij}[G]^\times$ . The sheaf  $\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X$  is said to have *special*  $\mathcal{O}_Y[G]$ -structure if we can find a cover  $\mathcal{U}$  with all the  $\text{Det}(\lambda_{ij}) = 1$ ; this then implies that the image of  $\lambda_{ij}$  in  $K_1(R_{ij}[G])$  actually lies in  $\text{SK}_1(R_{ij}[G])$ . We say that  $\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X$  has *elementary*  $\mathcal{O}_Y[G]$ -structure if we can find a cover  $\mathcal{U}$  so that each  $\lambda_{ij}$  has trivial image in  $K_1(R_{ij}[G])$ ; this then means that the  $\lambda_{ij}$  (viewed in  $\text{GL}(R_{ij}[G])$ ) lie in the group of elementary matrices  $E(R_{ij}[G])$ . The importance of elementary structure is that it allows us to form a second Chern class as follows. For each triple  $i, j, k$  we have the corresponding Steinberg sequence (see for instance Theorem 5.1 in [M])

$$1 \rightarrow K_2(R_{ijk}[G]) \rightarrow \text{St}(R_{ijk}[G]) \rightarrow E(R_{ijk}[G]) \rightarrow 1.$$

We choose a section  $s : E(R_{ijk}[G]) \rightarrow \text{St}(R_{ijk}[G])$ ; and we then define the 2-cocycle

$$z(i, j, k) = s(\lambda_{jk}^{-1})s(\lambda_{ij}^{-1})s(\lambda_{ik}) \in K_2(R_{ijk}[G]).$$

The  $z(i, j, k)$  then define a Čech representative for a Zariski cohomology class  $c_2$  in the group  $H^2(Y, K_2(\mathcal{O}_Y[G]))$  which is an equivariant second Chern class for  $\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X$ . Because we have put out ourselves in the situation in which the image of  $\lambda_{ij}$  in  $K_1(R_{ij}[G])$  is trivial, the natural first Chern class  $c_1 \in H^1(Y, K_1(\mathcal{O}_Y[G]))$  is trivial. The crucial importance of this construction is that there is a Riemann-Roch theorem which enables us to calculate the Euler characteristic  $\text{R}\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  from the knowledge of the first and second Chern classes of  $\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X$ . (See [CPT2].)

We can now explain the role of the results of this paper in this program: indeed they enable us to show that, under certain circumstances, the  $G$ -torsor  $X$  has special and indeed even elementary structure. For the sake of simplicity suppose now that the group  $G$  is perfect. To each local basis  $e_i$  we associate the resolvent

$$r(e_i) = \sum_{g \in G} g(e_i)g^{-1} \in S_i[G]$$

where  $S_i = (\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X)(U_i)$  is a finite  $R_i$ -algebra. We note that for  $h \in G$  we have

$$h(r(e_i)) = \sum_{g \in G} hg(e_i)g^{-1} = r(e_i)h.$$

Since  $G$  is perfect, we have  $\text{Det}(h) = 1$  and so

$$h(\text{Det}(r(e_i))) = \text{Det}(r(e_i)h) = \text{Det}(r(e_i)) \cdot \text{Det}(h) = \text{Det}(r(e_i)).$$

Thus  $\text{Det}(r(e_i))$  is fixed by  $G$ . Note that here  $G$  acts on  $K_1(S_i[G])$ , and hence on  $\text{Det}(S_i[G]^\times)$ , by its action on the ring  $S_i$ ; that is to say the  $G$ -action is induced via the action of  $G$  on the coefficients in the group ring  $S_i[G]$ . If we can establish a suitable fixed point theorem, such as in Theorem 1.5, then we can deduce that  $\text{Det}(r(e_i)) = \text{Det}(\lambda_i)$  for some  $\lambda_i \in R_i[G]^\times$ . If

we then replace the bases  $\{e_i\}$  by the bases  $\{\lambda_i^{-1}e_i\}$ , then  $\text{Det}(r(e_i)) = 1$ . Since it is easily verified that

$$\text{Det}(r(e_i)) = \text{Det}(\lambda_{ij})\text{Det}(r(e_j))$$

it will follow that  $\text{Det}(\lambda_{ij}) = 1$  and we shall thereby have exhibited a special structure. At this point we will know that the image of  $\lambda_{ij}$  in  $K_1(R_{ij}[G])$  actually lies in  $SK_1(R_{ij}[G])$ . The approximation theorems on  $SK_1$  of group rings, which will be shown in [CPT1], can then enable us to re-choose our bases to ensure that in fact  $\lambda_{ij}$  has trivial image in  $K_1(R_{ij}[G])$ , and so we finally get our desired elementary structure.

We conclude this Introduction by briefly describing the structure of the paper. In Section 2 we recall some standard techniques and results from K-theory. Section 3 lies at the heart of this paper: here we introduce the group logarithm, which is a key-tool for our study of  $K_1$ ; we then use this tool to prove Theorems 1.4 and 1.5 for  $p$ -groups. The proofs of some of the elementary properties of the group logarithm in Section 3 are relatively straight forward generalizations of the corresponding results for the case when  $R$  is a non-ramified ring of  $p$ -adic integers; for such results we either sketch the proof (for the reader's convenience) or make reference to the existing literature. However, in order to work with the far more general family of coefficient rings  $R$ , satisfying the Hypothesis, many aspects of the theory now become either quite different or at least considerably more subtle. One of the most striking new features concerns the determination of the image of the logarithm. To give a flavor of the key-idea here consider the case when the group  $G$  is an abelian  $p$ -group (see 3.d for full details). Varying from the above stated convention, we extend the lift of Frobenius  $F$  on  $R$  to a lift of Frobenius of the group ring  $R[G]$ , by stipulating that  $F$  is the  $p$ -th power map on  $G$ ; there is then a natural extension of  $F$  to the cotangent space (at zero) of  $R[G]$  with the property that  $d \cdot F = pF \cdot d$ . In this special situation the group logarithm is just the composite map  $(p - F) \cdot \log$ ; thus the differential of the group logarithm is  $d(p - F) \cdot \log = p(1 - F)d \log$  and so the cokernel of the differential of the group logarithm in  $p$  times the cotangent space is given by the group of coinvariants of  $F$ .

The remainder of the paper concerns the use of induction techniques for reducing the proof of our main results to the corresponding result for  $p$ -groups. For this we use the method of character action, due in origin to Lam (see [L]), together with Brauer induction. These methods are introduced in Section 5. We then apply these techniques to determinants in Section 6, where we complete the proofs of Theorems 1.2 and 1.5.

## 2. GENERALITIES

**2.a. Some K-theory.** In this subsection we gather together a number of standard results that we shall need in later sections.

Let  $S$  denote an arbitrary unitary ring and we write  $M(S) = \varinjlim (M_n(S))$  where  $M_n(S)$  stands for  $n \times n$ -matrices with entries in  $S$ . Let  $I$  denote a two-sided ideal of  $S$  which is contained in the Jacobson radical of  $S$ ; let  $\bar{S} = S/I$ . Since all the diagonal entries of a

matrix  $x \in 1 + M_n(I)$  are units, by left and right multiplying by elementary matrices we can bring  $x$  into diagonal form with unit entries. Thus  $x$  is invertible and so

$$1 + M(I) = GL(S, I) \stackrel{\text{defn}}{=} \ker(GL(S) \rightarrow GL(\bar{S})).$$

Note that  $GL(S)$  maps onto  $GL(\bar{S})$ , since given  $\bar{x} \in GL_n(\bar{S})$  with inverse  $\bar{y}$ , then for lifts  $x, y \in M_n(S)$  we have  $xy \in 1 + M_n(I)$  and so  $x$  is invertible.

We have the long exact sequence of K-theory (see for instance page 54 of [M])

$$(2.1) \quad K_2(S) \xrightarrow{q_2} K_2(\bar{S}) \rightarrow K_1(S, I) \rightarrow K_1(S) \xrightarrow{q_1} K_1(\bar{S}) \rightarrow 0$$

with  $q_1$  surjective since  $GL(S)$  maps onto  $GL(\bar{S})$ . We let  $E(S, I)$  denote the smallest normal subgroup of the group of elementary matrices  $E(S)$  containing the elementary matrices  $e_{ij}(a)$  with  $a \in I$ . Then we know (see for instance page 93 in [R])

$$K_1(S, I) = \frac{GL(S, I)}{E(S, I)}.$$

Under certain circumstances we shall wish to show that  $q_2 : K_2(S) \rightarrow K_2(\bar{S})$  is surjective (see for instance 2.3.1). With is in mind we note that by (2.1)  $q_2$  is surjective if and only if  $K_1(S, I) \rightarrow K_1(\bar{S})$  is injective, and this is the case if and only if

$$(2.2) \quad GL(S, I) \cap E(S) = E(S, I).$$

Recall also from [R] loc. cit. that  $E(S, I)$  is a normal subgroup of  $GL(S)$  and

$$(2.3) \quad [GL(S), E(S, I)] = [E(S), E(S, I)] = E(S, I).$$

**Lemma 2.1.** *Suppose  $A = 1 + \lambda \in 1 + M_n(I) = GL_n(S, I)$ . Then*

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & A^{-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \lambda \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -A^{-1}\lambda \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\lambda & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

*lies in  $E(S, I)$ .*

Proof. See page 94 in [R].  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.** (a) *We have the inclusion*

$$(2.4) \quad [GL(S), 1 + M(I)] \subset E(S, I);$$

(b) *given  $g \in GL(S, I)$ , there exist  $e_1, e_2 \in E(S, I)$ , and  $x \in 1 + I$  such that  $g = e_1 \delta(x) e_2$  where  $\delta(x)$  is the diagonal matrix with leading term  $x$  and with all non-leading diagonal terms equal to 1; thus in particular*

$$GL(S, I) \subset \langle E(S, I), (1 + I) \rangle$$

*where we view  $1 + I \subset S^\times \subset GL(S, I)$  as previously.*

Proof. We consider terms of the form  $x = yry^{-1}r^{-1}$  with  $r \in \mathrm{GL}_n(S)$  and  $y \in 1 + \mathrm{M}_n(I)$ ; then we note that

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{pmatrix} yry^{-1}r^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} y & 0 \\ 0 & y^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} r & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & y \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} r^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now by the previous lemma we know that

$$\begin{pmatrix} y & 0 \\ 0 & y^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{E}(S, I).$$

Thus the first right-hand term in (2.5) lies in  $\mathrm{E}(S, I)$ , and the product of the last three right-hand terms also lies in  $\mathrm{E}(S, I)$ , since  $\mathrm{E}(S, I)$  is normal in  $\mathrm{GL}(S)$  hence  $x \in \mathrm{E}(S, I)$  as required.

To prove (b) consider  $g \in \mathrm{GL}(S, I)$ . First we note that, as  $\mathrm{E}(S, I) \subset \mathrm{GL}(S, I)$  right and left multiplication of  $g$  by elements of  $\mathrm{E}(S, I)$  yields another element  $\mathrm{GL}(S, I)$ . As explained at the start of this section, by multiplying  $g$  on the right and left by elements of  $\mathrm{E}(S, I)$  we may obtain a diagonal matrix all of whose terms are congruent to 1 mod  $I$ ; we can multiply this matrix by elements of the form given in Lemma 2.1 to obtain a diagonal matrix all of whose terms, except the leading term, are 1.  $\square$

**2.b.  $p$ -adic computations.** In this section we consider various forms of the  $p$ -adic logarithm. By definition this logarithm involves certain denominators. In Section 3 we shall use the lift of Frobenius and the Adams operation  $\psi^p$  to define an integral logarithm, which eliminates these denominators.

Recall that we write  $N$  for the field of fractions of  $R$  and  $C_G$  denotes the set of conjugacy classes of the finite group  $G$ . Let  $N[C_G]$  denote the free  $N$ -vector space on  $C_G$ , and write  $\phi : N[G] \rightarrow N[C_G]$  for the  $N$ -linear map obtained by mapping each element of  $G$  to its conjugacy class.

Let  $J$  denote a two-sided ideal of  $R[G]$  with the property that for some positive integer  $m$ ,  $J^m \subset pR[G]$ . Thus the  $p$ -adic logarithm yields a map

$$\log : 1 + J \rightarrow N[G];$$

moreover, for  $n \geq 1$ , we have the  $p$ -adic logarithm map

$$\log(1 + p^n R[G]) \subset p^n R[G];$$

and for  $n \geq 2$  we have the (two-sided) inverse map

$$\exp : p^n R[G] \rightarrow 1 + p^n R[G].$$

Note that if  $T : R[G] \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(R \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \mathbf{Z}_p[\zeta])$  is an integral representation of  $G$  with character  $\chi$ , then for  $x \in 1 + J$  we know that for large enough  $N$ ,  $x^{p^N} \equiv 1 \pmod{pR[G]}$  and so  $\mathrm{Det}_\chi(x)^{p^N} \equiv 1 \pmod{pR \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \mathbf{Z}_p[\zeta]}$ ; therefore the usual  $p$ -adic logarithm  $\log(\mathrm{Det}_\chi(x))$  is defined in  $N \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \mathbf{Z}_p[\zeta]$ .



**Lemma 2.3.** *Suppose  $x \in 1 + J$  and that  $\chi$  is any character of  $G$  with the property that  $\log(\text{Det}_\chi(x)) = 0$ . Then  $\text{Det}_\chi(x)^{p^M} = 1$  for some positive integer  $M$ .*

Proof. Suppose first that  $\chi$  is the character of an integral representation  $T$  as above. Then we know that  $\text{Det}_\chi(x)^{p^{N+1}} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^2 R \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \mathbf{Z}_p[\zeta]}$ ; however, we have seen that  $\log$  is a bijection on  $1 + p^2 R \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \mathbf{Z}_p[\zeta]$  and so  $\text{Det}_\chi(x)^{p^{N+1}} = 1$ . Since monomial representations are such integral representations, we have now proved the result for the characters of monomial representations. The result for general characters then follows by Brauer's induction theorem.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.4.** *The map  $\phi \circ \log$  is a homomorphism and there is a unique homomorphism  $v$  which makes the following diagram commute*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 1 + J & \xrightarrow{\text{Det}} & \text{Det}(1 + J) \\ & \searrow & \downarrow v \\ & & N[C_G] \end{array}$$

where the diagonal map is  $\phi \circ \log$ . For  $n \geq 2$ ,  $v$  induces isomorphisms

$$\text{Det}(1 + p^n R[G]) \xrightarrow{\sim} p^n R[C_G], \quad \text{Det}(1 + p^n J) \xrightarrow{\sim} p^n \phi(J).$$

Proof. For  $x \in 1 + J$  and for a character  $\chi$  of  $G$

$$\chi \circ \phi \circ \log(x) = \log(\text{Det}_\chi(x)).$$

Thus for  $y \in 1 + J$

$$\begin{aligned} \chi \circ \phi \circ \log(xy) &= \log(\text{Det}_\chi(xy)) = \log(\text{Det}_\chi(x)) + \log(\text{Det}_\chi(y)) \\ &= \chi \circ \phi \circ \log(x) + \chi \circ \phi \circ \log(y) \end{aligned}$$

and, since evaluation on all the irreducible characters of  $G$  is injective on  $N[C_G]$ , this indeed shows that  $\phi \circ \log$  is a homomorphism.

Suppose now that  $\text{Det}(x) = 1$ ; then  $x \in \ker(\phi \circ \log)$  and this demonstrates the existence of a unique homomorphism  $v$  which makes the above diagram commute.

To see that the restriction of  $v$  to  $\text{Det}(1 + p^n R[G])$  is an isomorphism for  $n \geq 2$ , we show that the kernels of the restrictions  $\phi \circ \log$  and  $\text{Det}$  actually coincide. Note that if  $x \in \ker(\phi \circ \log)$ , then for each  $\chi$  we know by the above that  $\log(\text{Det}_\chi(x)) = 0$ , and so by Lemma 2.3 it follows that a  $p$ -power of  $\text{Det}_\chi(x)$  is equal to one; however, each

$$\text{Det}_\chi(x) \equiv 1 \pmod{p^n}$$

and so, because  $n \geq 2$ , we can deduce that  $\text{Det}_\chi(x) = 1$ , as required. The argument for  $\text{Det}(1 + p^n J)$  is entirely similar.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.5.** *For  $n \geq 2$  and for  $x \in (1 + p^n R[G]) \cap \ker(\text{Det})$ , there exists an element  $y$  in  $[R[G]^\times, 1 + p^n R[G]]$  so that*

$$x \equiv y \pmod{p^{n+1} R[G]}.$$

Proof. Put  $x = 1 + p^n \lambda$ . Since  $\text{Det}(x) = 1$  we know by the above that  $\phi \circ \log(x) = 0$ , and so  $\log(x) = \log(1 + p^n \lambda)$  can be written as

$$p^n \sum_{g,h} \lambda'_{g,h} (g - hgh^{-1}).$$

Since  $\log(x) \equiv p^n \lambda \pmod{p^{n+1}R[G]}$ , we have the congruence  $\pmod{p^{n+1}R[G]}$

$$x = 1 + p^n \lambda \equiv 1 + \log(x) \equiv 1 + \sum_{g,h} p^n \lambda'_{g,h} (g - hgh^{-1}) \equiv \prod_{g,h} [h, 1 - p^n \lambda'_{g,h} g]$$

which gives the required result.  $\square$

**2.c. Some proofs.** We write  $R_n$  for the quotient ring  $R/p^n R$  and we now apply the results of §2.a with  $S = M(R_{n+1}[G])$  and  $I = p^n M(R_{n+1}[G])$ .

**2.c.1. The surjectivity of  $K_2(R_{n+1}[G]) \rightarrow K_2(R_n[G])$ .** The following result does not require  $R$  to have a lift of Frobenius.

**Proposition 2.6.** *For  $n \geq 2$  the natural map  $K_2(R_{n+1}[G]) \rightarrow K_2(R_n[G])$  is surjective.*

Proof. In the following we shall abbreviate  $\text{GL}(R_{n+1}[G], p^m R_{n+1}[G])$  to  $\text{GL}(R_{n+1}[G], p^m)$ . By (2.1) and (2.2) above, it will suffice to show

$$\text{GL}(R_{n+1}[G], p^n) \cap [\text{GL}(R_{n+1}[G]), \text{GL}(R_{n+1}[G])] \subset E(R_{n+1}[G], p^n),$$

since by the Whitehead Lemma  $[\text{GL}(R_{n+1}[G]), \text{GL}(R_{n+1}[G])] = E(R_{n+1}[G])$ . Consider an element  $\bar{x}$  of the left-hand side. We may then choose

$$x \in \text{GL}(R[G], p^n) \cap [\text{GL}(R[G]), \text{GL}(R[G])]$$

with the property that  $x \pmod{p^{n+1}} = \bar{x}$ . By Lemma 2.2 (b) we can find  $e_1, e_2 \in E(R[G], p^n)$  such that  $x = e_1 d e_2$  with  $d \in (1 + p^n R[G]) \cap \ker(\text{Det})$ . So by Lemma 2.5,  $d$  is congruent  $\pmod{p^{n+1}}$  to an element of  $[R[G]^\times, 1 + p^n R[G]]$  and Lemma 2.2(a) shows that this element lies in  $E(R[G], p^n)$ .  $\square$

**2.c.2. Proof of Proposition 1.3.** We must show that the natural map

$$\theta : \text{Det}(\text{GL}(R[G])) \rightarrow \widehat{\text{Det}}(\text{GL}(R[G])) = \varprojlim \frac{\text{Det}(\text{GL}(R[G]))}{\text{Det}(1 + p^n M(R[G]))}$$

is an isomorphism.

Let  $N'$  denote a sufficiently large finite extension of  $N$  with the property that

$$K_1(N'[G]) = \text{Det}(\text{GL}(N'[G])) = \prod N'^\times.$$

Since  $\prod N'^\times$  is  $p$ -adically complete, we know that

$$\cap_n \text{Det}(1 + p^n M(R[G])) = \{1\}$$

and so  $\theta$  is certainly injective. To show that the image of  $\theta$  is surjective, consider a coherent sequence

$$\{d_n \text{Det}(1 + p^n M(R[G]))\} \in \prod_n \frac{\text{Det}(\text{GL}(R[G]))}{\text{Det}(1 + p^n M(R[G]))}.$$

It will suffice to show that  $\{d_n\}$  converges to an element  $d \in \text{Det}(\text{GL}(R[G]))$ . To this end we consider the sequence  $\{d'_n\} = \{d_n d_2^{-1}\}$  for  $n \geq 2$ . We then use Lemma 2.2 (b) to deduce that for  $n \geq 2$

$$\text{Det}(1 + p^n M(R[G])) = \text{Det}(1 + p^n R[G])$$

and by Proposition 2.4 the map  $v$  of gives an isomorphism  $\text{Det}(1 + p^n R[G]) \cong p^n R[C_G]$ ; so that we now have isomorphisms

$$\delta_n : \text{Det}(1 + p^n M(R[G])) \cong p^n R[C_G].$$

It therefore follows that the sequence  $\{\delta_2(d'_n)\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $p^2 R[C_G]$ , which converges to an element  $x \in p^2 R[C_G]$ . The desired element  $d$  is then given by  $d_2 \delta_2^{-1}(x)$ .

It now remains to construct the exact sequence (1.3). First we note that by definition  $\widehat{\text{SK}}_1(R[G])$  is the inverse limit of the images of  $\text{SK}_1(R[G])$  in the groups  $\widehat{\text{K}}_1(R_n[G])$ , which we denote by  $\text{SK}_1(R[G])_n$ . By the localisation sequence (2.1) we know that

$$K_1(R_n[G]) = \frac{K_1(R[G])}{K_1(R[G], p^n)}$$

and by Lemma 2.2 (b) this is given by the image of  $\text{GL}(R[G])$  modulo the image of  $1 + p^n M(R[G])$ . Thus if we write  $\text{SK}_1(R[G], p^n)$  for the intersection of  $K_1(R[G], p^n)$  and  $\text{SK}_1(R[G])$  then we have a commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 1 & \rightarrow & \text{SK}_1(R[G], p^n) & \rightarrow & K_1(R[G], p^n) & \rightarrow & \text{Det}(1 + p^n M(R[G])) & \rightarrow & 1 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 1 & \rightarrow & \text{SK}_1(R[G]) & \rightarrow & K_1(R[G]) & \rightarrow & \text{Det}(\text{GL}(R[G])) & \rightarrow & 1 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 1 & \rightarrow & \text{SK}_1(R[G])_n & \rightarrow & K_1(R_n[G]) & \rightarrow & \text{Det}(\text{GL}(R[G]))_n & \rightarrow & 1 \end{array}$$

where we write  $\text{Det}(\text{GL}(R[G]))_n$  for quotient of  $\text{Det}(\text{GL}(R[G]))$  by  $\text{Det}(1 + p^n M(R[G]))$ . We then take the inverse limit of the bottom exact row; noting that the the inverse limit of the  $\text{SK}_1(R[G])_n$  affords a surjective system, we obtain the desired exact sequence (1.3).  $\square$

### 3. THE GROUP LOGARITHM AND DETERMINANTS FOR $p$ -GROUPS

**3.a. The group logarithm.** In this subsection we introduce and develop the group logarithm which is a fundamental tool for studying the determinants of units of  $p$ -adic group rings. Many of the proofs of the results we give in this subsection are comparatively routine extensions of the corresponding results for group rings whose coefficients are rings of integers of  $p$ -adic fields (see for instance [T], [F], [CR2] and [O2]); when this is the case we shall confine ourselves to giving a brief idea of the proof (for the reader's convenience) and provide a precise reference for the result over a  $p$ -adic ring of integers.

Recall that  $F$  denotes a lift of Frobenius on  $R$ . We let  $G$  denote a finite  $p$ -group, and  $I(R[G])$  denotes the augmentation ideal of the group ring  $R[G]$ . Recall also that for a left Artinian ring, the Jacobson radical is a nilpotent ideal (see for instance 5.15 in [CR1]); so, because  $I(\mathbf{F}_p[G])$  is the Jacobson radical of the Artinian ring  $\mathbf{F}_p[G]$ , it follows that we can find a positive integer  $N$  such that  $I(\mathbf{F}_p[G])^N = 0$ . Recall  $R$  is torsion free; so  $I(R[G]) = R \cdot I(\mathbf{Z}_p[G])$ , and it therefore follows that

$$(3.1) \quad I(R[G])^N \subset pR[G].$$

**Proposition 3.1.** (a) Suppose  $\text{Det}(x) \in \text{Det}(\text{GL}_n(R[G]))$  has the property that

$$\text{Det}(x)(1_G) = 1,$$

where  $1_G$  denotes the trivial character of  $G$ . Then

$$\text{Det}(x) \in \text{Det}(\text{GL}_n(R[G]), I(R[G])) = \text{Det}(1 + I(R[G])).$$

(b) We have  $\text{Det}(\text{GL}_n(R[G])) = \text{Det}(R[G]^\times)$  and this proves Theorem 1.2 (a).

Proof. Let  $\varepsilon : R[G] \rightarrow R$  denote the augmentation map, and for each  $n \geq 1$ , let  $T_n : \text{GL}_n(R[G]) \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(R)$  be the representation induced by  $\varepsilon$ . Then we have the split exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(R[G], I(R[G])) \subset \text{GL}_n(R[G]) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \text{GL}_n(R) \rightarrow 1.$$

By hypothesis  $\text{Det}(T_n(x)) = 1$  and so, if we put  $y = x \cdot T_n(x^{-1})$ , then  $T_n(y) = 1_n$ , and therefore  $y \in \text{GL}_n(R[G], I(R[G]))$  and (a) follows since  $\text{Det}(x) = \text{Det}(y)$ . To conclude the proof of (a), we use the fact that, since  $I(R[G])$  is contained in the Jacobson radical of  $R[G]$ , by Lemma 2.2 (b) we know that

$$\text{Det}(\text{GL}_n(R[G], I(R[G]))) = \text{Det}(1 + I(R[G])).$$

Part (b) follows from the exact sequences:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \rightarrow & \text{Det}(\text{GL}_n(R[G], I(R[G]))) & \rightarrow & \text{Det}(\text{GL}_n(R[G])) & \rightarrow & \text{Det}(\text{GL}_n(R)) \rightarrow 1 \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \det^{-1} \\ 1 & \rightarrow & \text{Det}(1 + I(R[G])) & \rightarrow & \text{Det}(R[G]^\times) & \rightarrow & R^\times \rightarrow 1 \end{array}$$

The right-hand vertical map is an isomorphism, its inverse being given by the determinant for matrices over the commutative ring  $R$ ; we have just seen that the left-hand vertical map is an isomorphism; so finally we deduce that the middle vertical map is also an isomorphism.  $\square$

Define the  $F$ -semi-linear map  $\Psi : R[G] \rightarrow R[G]$  by the rule that  $\Psi(rg) = F(r)g^p$ ; as previously  $\phi : R[G] \rightarrow R[C_G]$  denotes the  $R$ -linear map given by sending each group element to its conjugacy class. Write  $\bar{\Psi} : R[C_G] \rightarrow R[C_G]$  for the  $F$ -semi-linear map induced by  $\Psi$ .

We now define the group logarithm

$$\mathcal{L} : 1 + I(R[G]) \rightarrow N[C_G]$$

by the rule that for  $x \in I(R[G])$

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(1-x) &= (p - \bar{\Psi})\phi((\log(1-x))) = \phi((p - \Psi)(\log(1-x))) \\ &= -\phi\left(\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{px^n}{n} - \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\Psi(x^n)}{n}\right) \end{aligned}$$

which is seen to converge to an element of  $N[C_G]$  by (3.1). Note that the map  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_F$  depends on the chosen lift of Frobenius  $F$ ; we shall therefore have to be particularly careful when using such group logarithms for different coefficient rings which may well have different lifts of Frobenius.

**Lemma 3.2.** *For a character  $\chi$  of  $G$  and for  $x \in I(R[G])$*

$$\chi(\phi((\log(1+x)))) = \log(\text{Det}(1+x)(\chi))$$

and

$$\chi(\mathcal{L}(1+x)) = \log[\text{Det}(1+x)(p\chi)\text{Det}(1+F(x))(-\psi^p\chi)]$$

where  $\psi^p$  denotes the  $p$ th Adams operation on virtual characters of  $G$ , which is defined by the rule  $(\psi^p\chi)(g) = \chi(g^p)$ .

Proof. The key point here is that the logarithm of the determinant is the trace of the logarithm, as seen in the proof of Proposition 2.4; for full details see Proposition 1.3 on page 53 of [T].  $\square$

**Corollary 3.3.** *If  $\text{Det}(1+x) = 1$ , then  $\phi(\log(1+x)) = 0$ , and so  $\mathcal{L}(1+x) = 1$ . Thus there is a unique map*

$$\nu : \text{Det}(1 + I(R[G])) \rightarrow N[C_G]$$

such that  $\mathcal{L} = \nu \circ \text{Det}$ .

By a slight abuse of language, we will sometimes also refer to  $\nu$  as the group logarithm.

Proof. For such  $x$  we know from the proof of Proposition 2.4 that we have

$$\phi((\log(1+x))) = 0;$$

hence

$$\mathcal{L}(1+x) = (p - \bar{\Psi})\phi \circ \log(1+x) = 0. \quad \square$$

We now show that the group logarithm is an integral logarithm.

**Theorem 3.4.** (a) *We have the inclusion*

$$\mathcal{L}(1 + I(R[G])) \subset p\phi(I(R[G])).$$

(b) *If  $G$  is abelian, then  $\mathcal{L}(1 + I^2(R[G])) \subset pI^2(R[G])$ .*

We only provide a sketch of the proof. (See Theorem 54.5 in [CR2] and Theorem 16 in [F] for details.) We rewrite the right-hand side of (3.2) as

$$-\phi \left( \left( \sum_{n \geq 1, p \nmid n} \frac{px^n}{n} + \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{px^{np}}{np} \right) - \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\Psi(x^n)}{n} \right)$$

and so the theorem will follow from the following: for any  $x \in R[G]$  and  $m \geq 0$

$$\phi \left( x^{p^{m+1}} - \Psi(x^{p^m}) \right) \in p^{m+1} R[C_G]$$

and this follows from the “non-commutative binomial theorem” as given in Lemma 5.2 on page 80 of [F]. This shows that  $\mathcal{L}(1 + I(R[G])) \subset p R[C_G]$ . From Lemma 3.2 we know that  $\mathcal{L}(1 + I(R[G]))$  vanishes under evaluation by the trivial character  $1_G$  and so  $\mathcal{L}(1 + I(R[G])) \subset p \phi(I(R[G]))$ .

The proof of (b) is similar but easier. Suppose now that  $G$  is abelian; then  $\Psi$  is a ring homomorphism and  $\Psi(I(R[G])) \subset I(R[G])$ . Consider  $\{a_h, b_h\} \in I(R[G])$  for  $h = 1, \dots, n$ . Then by the binomial theorem for each  $h$

$$a_h^p \equiv \Psi(a_h) \pmod{p I(R[G])}, \quad b_h^p \equiv \Psi(b_h) \pmod{p I(R[G])}$$

and so

$$\left( \sum_{h=1}^n a_h b_h \right)^p \equiv \Psi \left( \sum_{h=1}^n a_h b_h \right) \pmod{p I^2(R[G])}.$$

Hence for  $m > 0$

$$\left( \sum_{h=1}^n a_h b_h \right)^{p^{m+1}} \equiv \Psi \left( \sum_{h=1}^n a_h b_h \right)^{p^m} \pmod{p^{m+1} I^2(R[G])}$$

and so we have shown that for any  $x \in I^2(R[G])$

$$x^{p^{m+1}} \equiv \Psi(x^{p^m}) \pmod{p^{m+1} I^2(R[G])}.$$

Then, arguing as in part (a), we see that  $\mathcal{L}(1 + I^2(R[G])) \subset I^2(R[G])$ .  $\square$

To gain a more precise idea of the image of the group logarithm, we set

$$\mathcal{A}(R[G]) = \text{Ker}(R[G] \rightarrow R[G^{\text{ab}}])$$

and we note that obviously  $\mathcal{A}(R[G]) \subset I(R[G])$ . Our next aim is to show that

**Theorem 3.5.** *We have the equality*

$$\mathcal{L}(1 + \mathcal{A}(R[G])) = p \phi(\mathcal{A}(R[G])).$$

Let  $c$  denote a central element of  $G$  of order  $p$  and set  $\overline{G} = G/\langle c \rangle$ .

**Lemma 3.6.** (a) *We have*

$$(1 - c)^p \equiv -p(1 - c) \pmod{p(1 - c)^2}.$$

(b) *For  $\xi \in R[G]$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(1 + (1 - c)\xi) &\equiv p\phi((\xi - \xi^p)(1 - c)) \pmod{p\phi((1 - c)^2 R[G])} \\ &\equiv p(\phi(\xi) - \bar{\Psi} \circ \phi(\xi))(1 - c) \pmod{p\phi((1 - c)^2 R[G])}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The proof when  $p = 2$  is trivial, so now we assume that  $p$  is odd. By the Binomial theorem

$$1 = c^p = ((c - 1) + 1)^p \equiv (c - 1)^p + p(c - 1) + 1 \pmod{p(1 - c)^2}.$$

To prove the second part, we note that using the first part of the lemma we have the following congruences  $\pmod{p(1 - c)^2}$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(1 + (1 - c)\xi) &\equiv p\phi((1 - c)\xi) + (1 - c)^p\phi(\xi^p) \\ &\equiv p(1 - c)\phi(\xi) - p(1 - c)\phi(\xi^p); \end{aligned}$$

the result then follows since  $\phi(\xi^p) \equiv \bar{\Psi} \circ \phi(\xi) \pmod{p}$ , as we have also seen above.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.7.** *We have*

- (a)  $\Psi((1 - c)R[G]) = 0$ .
- (b)  $\mathcal{L}(1 + (1 - c)R[G]) \subset p\phi((1 - c)R[G])$ .

Proof. As  $\Psi(1 - c) = 1 - c^p = 0$  and as  $c$  is central, it follows that  $\Psi((1 - c)R[G]) = 0$ . So, using the above fact that  $(1 - c)^p \in p(1 - c)R[G]$ , we see that for  $x \in R[G]$

$$\begin{aligned} (3.3) \quad \mathcal{L}(1 - (1 - c)x) &= -p\phi\left(\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{(1 - c)^n x^n}{n}\right) \\ &= -\phi(p(1 - c)x + y) \end{aligned}$$

with  $y \in p(1 - c)x^2 R[G]$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.8.** (a) *We have  $\mathcal{L}(1 + (1 - c)I(R[G])) = p\phi((1 - c)I(R[G]))$ .*

(b) *For  $n \geq 2$ , we have  $\mathcal{L}(1 + (1 - c)^n R[G]) = p\phi((1 - c)^n R[G])$ .*

Proof. Both results follow by successive approximation, on using the last of the expressions in (3.3) and taking  $x$  to lie in  $I^m(R[G])$  for (a) (resp.  $(1 - c)^{n-1}I^m(R[G])$  for (b)) with increasing  $m$ .  $\square$

Note that the central cyclic group  $\langle c \rangle$  acts on the set of conjugacy classes  $C_G$  by multiplication.

**Lemma 3.9.**

$$(3.4) \quad (1 - c)R[C_G] = \ker(R[C_G] \rightarrow R[C_{\overline{G}}])$$

$$(3.5) \quad = \ker(\phi(I(R[G])) \rightarrow \bar{\phi}(I(R[\overline{G}])))$$

$$(3.6) \quad p^n R[C_G] \cap (1 - c)N[C_G] = p^n(1 - c)R[C_G] = \phi(p^n(1 - c)R[G]).$$

Furthermore, if  $c \in [G, G]$ , then

$$(3.7) \quad (1 - c)R[C_G] = \ker(\phi(I^2(R[G])) \rightarrow \overline{\phi}(I^2(R[\overline{G}])))$$

Proof. To prove the first equality we note that, since  $\langle c \rangle$  acts on  $C_G$ ,  $R[C_G]$  is a permutation  $R\langle c \rangle$ -module, and so  $R[C_G]$  is isomorphic to: a sum of copies of  $R$  (coming from the conjugacy classes of elements  $g \in G$  with the property that the elements  $\{c^i g\}_{i=0}^{p-1}$  are all conjugate); and a sum of copies of  $R\langle c \rangle$  (coming from the conjugacy classes of elements  $g \in G$  with the property that the conjugacy classes of the elements  $\{c^i g\}_{i=0}^{p-1}$  are all distinct). The equality then follows by considering these two cases. The third equality then also follows since we have now shown that  $(1 - c)R[C_G]$  is an  $R$ -direct summand of  $R[C_G]$ . The second equality follows from the fact that  $(1 - c)R[C_G] \subset \phi(I(R[G]))$ . Similarly to show the fourth equality, it will suffice to show that if  $c \in [G, G]$  then

$$(1 - c)R[G] \subset \ker(I^2(R[G]) \rightarrow I^2(R[\overline{G}])).$$

This follows at once from the congruence  $(1 - g) + (1 - h) \equiv (1 - gh) \pmod{I^2(R[G])}$  for  $g, h \in G$ .  $\square$

Note that, if we wish, we may impose the further condition that  $c$  be a commutator. Indeed, we know that the last term in the lower central series of  $G$  is generated by central commutators; we choose a non-trivial such element  $d = [g, h]$  and we suppose that  $d$  has order  $p^m$ ; then  $dh = ghg^{-1}$ , and so  $d^{p^{m-1}}h^{p^{m-1}} = gh^{p^{m-1}}g^{-1}$ , and hence  $c = [g, h^{p^m}]$  is a central commutator of order  $p$ . As  $c$  has trivial image in  $G^{\text{ab}}$ , note that:

$$(1 - c)R[G] \subset \mathcal{A}(R[G]).$$

**Lemma 3.10.** *If  $c$  is a central commutator of order  $p$ , then*

$$\mathcal{L}(1 + (1 - c)R[G]) = p\phi((1 - c)R[G]) = p\phi((1 - c)I(R[G])).$$

Proof. Put  $c = [\gamma, \delta]$ . As  $R[G] = R\delta + I(R[G])$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - c)R[G] &= R(1 - c)\delta + (1 - c)I(R[G]) \\ &= R(1 - \gamma\delta\gamma^{-1}\delta^{-1})\delta + (1 - c)I(R[G]) \\ &= R(\delta - \gamma\delta\gamma^{-1}) + (1 - c)I(R[G]) \end{aligned}$$

and the second equality follows because  $\phi(\delta - \gamma\delta\gamma^{-1}) = 0$ . The first equality now follows from the above together with Lemmas 3.7 and 3.8 (a).  $\square$

*Sketch of the proof of Theorem 3.5.* (For additional details see the proof of Proposition 1.11 in Chapter 6 of [T].) We shall prove the theorem by induction on the order of  $G$ . Note that the theorem is trivial if  $G$  is abelian; this then starts the induction. Put  $\overline{G} = G/\langle c \rangle$  with  $c$  a central commutator of order  $p$  as above. Then by the induction hypothesis we know that the theorem is true for  $\overline{G}$ .

The proof of the theorem proceeds in two steps.



*Step 1.* We show  $\mathcal{L}(1 + \mathcal{A}(R[G])) \supseteq p\phi(\mathcal{A}(R[G]))$ .

Given  $a \in \mathcal{A}(R[G])$ , since  $\mathcal{A}(R[G])$  maps onto  $\mathcal{A}(R[\overline{G}])$ , using the theorem for  $\overline{G}$ , we can find  $x \in \mathcal{A}(R[G])$  such that  $\mathcal{L}(1 + \overline{x}) = p\phi(\overline{a})$ . Let  $y = p\phi(a) - \mathcal{L}(1 + x)$ ; by Theorem 3.4 we know that  $y \in pR[C_G]$ , and so by (3.4)

$$y = p\phi(a) - \mathcal{L}(1 + x) \in p \ker(R[C_G] \rightarrow R[C_{\overline{G}}]) = p(1 - c)R[C_G].$$

Now by Lemma 3.10, we can find  $b \in (1 - c)R[G] \subset \mathcal{A}(R[G])$  such that  $\mathcal{L}(1 + b) = y$ ; therefore

$$\mathcal{L}((1 + x)(1 + b)) = p\phi(a).$$

*Step 2.* We show  $\mathcal{L}(1 + \mathcal{A}(R[G])) \subset p\phi(\mathcal{A}(R[G]))$ .

Given  $a \in \mathcal{A}(R[G])$ , using the theorem for  $\overline{G}$ , we can find  $b \in \mathcal{A}(R[G])$  such that  $\mathcal{L}(1 + \overline{a}) = p\phi(\overline{b})$ . By Theorem 3.4 we know that  $\mathcal{L}(1 + a) \in pR[C_G]$  and so using (3.4) we get

$$\mathcal{L}(1 + a) - p\phi(b) \in p \ker(R[C_G] \rightarrow R[C_{\overline{G}}]) = p(1 - c)R[C_G] \subset p\phi(\mathcal{A}(R[G])). \quad \square$$

**Proposition 3.11.** *We have the equality*

$$\mathcal{L}(1 + I^2(R[G])) = p\phi(I^2(R[G])).$$

*Proof.* As a first step we show that  $\mathcal{L}(1 + I^2(R[G])) \subset p\phi(I^2(R[G]))$ . For this we first note that  $\mathcal{A}(R[G]) = \ker(R[G] \rightarrow R[G^{\text{ab}}])$  is generated by terms of the form  $g([h, k] - 1)$  for  $g, h, k \in G$  and so as in the proof of Lemma 3.9 above we see that  $\mathcal{A}(R[G]) \subset I^2(R[G])$ . Now consider  $x \in I^2(R[G])$ . By Theorem 3.4 we can find  $y \in I^2(R[G])$  such that

$$\mathcal{L}(1 + x) - p\phi(y) \in \ker(pR[C_G] \rightarrow pR[G^{\text{ab}}]) = p\phi(\mathcal{A}(R[G])) \subset p\phi(I^2(R[G]))$$

and so  $\mathcal{L}(1 + x) \in p\phi(I^2(R[G]))$ .

We are now in a position to prove the theorem by induction on the group order. Suppose first that  $G$  is abelian and let  $c \in G$  have order  $p$ . Then we have a commutative diagram with exact rows, where the vertical arrows are induced by the group logarithm:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \rightarrow & 1 + (1 - c)I(R[G]) & \rightarrow & 1 + I^2(R[G]) & \rightarrow & 1 + I^2(R[\overline{G}]) \rightarrow 1 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \rightarrow & p(1 - c)I(R[G]) & \rightarrow & pI^2(R[G]) & \rightarrow & pI^2(R[\overline{G}]) \rightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

The right-hand map is surjective by the induction hypothesis; the left-hand vertical map is surjective by Lemma 3.8 (a); and so the central vertical map is surjective.

Suppose now that  $G$  is non-abelian. Then, as above, we let  $c$  denote a central element of  $G$  of order  $p$ , which is a commutator, and put  $\overline{G} = G/\langle c \rangle$ . Then we have a commutative diagram where the vertical arrows are induced by the group logarithm:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \rightarrow & 1 + (1 - c)R[G] & \rightarrow & 1 + I^2(R[G]) & \rightarrow & 1 + I^2(R[\overline{G}]) \rightarrow 1 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \rightarrow & p\phi((1 - c)R[G]) & \rightarrow & p\phi(I^2(R[G])) & \rightarrow & p\overline{\phi}(I^2(R[\overline{G}])) \rightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

The bottom row is exact by Lemma 3.9, and indeed the proof of this result shows that the top row is exact. We then conclude as previously, but now appealing to Lemma 3.10, to show that the left-hand vertical map is surjective.  $\square$

*When  $c$  is not a commutator.* In the above we needed to choose  $c$  to be a commutator. We can always do this, and indeed, as we have seen, this case provides the crucial inductive step for building up the group logarithm. However, when we come to our treatment of  $SK_1$  in [CPT1], we shall also need results for the case where  $c$  is central of order  $p$  but is not a commutator; we now consider this case.

**Lemma 3.12.** *If  $c$  cannot be written as a commutator in  $G$ , then multiplication by  $c$  permutes the elements of  $C_G$  without fixed points, and the kernel of multiplication by  $1 - c$  on  $R[C_G]$  is generated by elements of the form  $\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} c^i \phi(g)$  for  $g \in G$ .*

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that  $c\phi(h) = \phi(h)$  for  $h \in G$ . Then  $ch = ghg^{-1}$  for some element  $g \in G$  and so  $c = [g, h]$ , which is the desired contradiction. As previously, we then observe that a permutation  $R\langle c \rangle$ -module breaks up into a sum of free and trivial  $R\langle c \rangle$ -modules; however, by the first part there are no trivial modules in  $R[C_G]$  and the result follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.13.** *Again suppose that  $c$  cannot be written as a commutator; then*

$$\mathcal{L}(1 + (1 - c)I(R[G])) \supseteq p\phi((1 - c)I(R[G])) + p^2\phi(1 - c)R$$

and

$$\frac{p\phi((1 - c)R[G])}{\mathcal{L}(1 + (1 - c)R[G])} \cong \frac{R}{(1 - F)R + pR}.$$

Proof. Using the obvious fact that  $(1 - c)R[G] = R + I(R[G])$ , we easily derive the equality

$$1 + (1 - c)R[G] = (1 + (1 - c)R) \cdot (1 + p(1 - c)R) \cdot (1 + (1 - c)I(R[G]));$$

and so by Lemma 3.8 (a)

$$\mathcal{L}(1 + (1 - c)R[G]) = \mathcal{L}(1 + (1 - c)R) + p(1 - c)R + (1 - c)\phi(I(R[G]))$$

So by Lemma 3.6 (b) we see that

$$\frac{p\phi((1 - c)R[G])}{\mathcal{L}(1 + (1 - c)R[G])} \cong \frac{(1 - c)R[C_G]}{(1 - F)R + pR + (1 - c)\phi(I(R[G]))}.$$

By Lemma 3.12, as  $c$  is not a commutator, we have a well-defined map  $(1 - c)R[C_G] \rightarrow R/pR$  given by  $\phi((1 - c)rg) \mapsto r \bmod pR$  for  $r \in R$ ,  $g \in G$ ; and the kernel of this map is  $(1 - c)pR + (1 - c)\phi(I(R[G]))$  and so we have an isomorphism

$$\frac{p\phi((1 - c)R[G])}{\mathcal{L}(1 + (1 - c)R[G])} \cong \frac{R}{(1 - F)R + pR}. \quad \square$$

**3.b. Torsion determinants.** The next result in this section concerns an extension of Wall's theorem on torsion determinants for a  $p$ -adically complete ring  $R$ . Note that, for the purposes of this result, we only require that  $R$  be a  $p$ -adically complete torsion free integral domain.

**Theorem 3.14.** *The group of all elements of finite order in  $\text{Det}(1 + I(R[G]))$  is equal to the group  $\text{Det}(G)$ .*

The proof of this result is very similar to that when  $R$  is a  $p$ -adic ring of integers; since the result is important, but the proof is quite intricate and does not involve any fundamentally new ideas, a detailed proof is provided as an Appendix in Section 6. We can now use this result to show:

**Theorem 3.15.** *The kernel of the map  $\nu : \text{Det}(1 + I(R[G])) \rightarrow p\phi(I(R[G]))$  is equal to  $\text{Det}(G)$ .*

**Theorem 3.16.** *Let  $\nu'$  denote the restriction of the homomorphism  $\nu$  to  $\text{Det}(1 + \mathcal{A}(R[G]))$ ; then  $\nu'$  is an isomorphism*

$$\text{Det}(1 + \mathcal{A}(R[G])) \xrightarrow{\sim} p\phi(\mathcal{A}(R[G])).$$

*Proof of Theorem 3.15.* By Theorem 3.14 it will suffice to show that  $\text{Det}(1 + I(R[G]))_{\text{tors}} = \ker(\nu)$ . Since  $\text{Im}(\nu)$  is torsion free, it is clear that  $\text{Det}(1 + I(R[G]))_{\text{tors}} \subset \ker(\nu)$ . We now establish the opposite inclusion. For this we begin by noting that  $(1 + I(R[G]))/(1 + p^2 I(R[G]))$  has finite  $p$ -power exponent, and from Proposition 2.4 we know that  $\nu$  is injective on  $\text{Det}(1 + p^2 I(R[G]))$ ; thus if  $x \in I(R[G])$ , then  $\text{Det}(1 + x)$  is torsion if and only if

$$0 = \nu(\text{Det}(1 + x)) = \phi \circ \log(1 + x).$$

Suppose now that  $\text{Det}(1 + x) \in \ker(\nu)$ ; then by the above it will suffice to show that  $\phi \circ \log(1 + x) = 0$ . We prove this by induction on the order of the group  $G$ , noting that, to start the induction, the result is vacuous if  $G$  is trivial. We then adopt the previous notation, choose a central element of order  $p$  and put  $\overline{G} = G/\langle c \rangle$  etc. By the induction hypothesis,  $\phi(\log(1 + \overline{x})) = 0$  and so, for any character  $\overline{\chi}$  of  $G$ , which is inflated from  $\overline{G}$ ,

$$0 = \overline{\chi}(\phi(\log(1 + \overline{x}))).$$

Recall that the lift of Frobenius  $F$  acts on  $R[G]$  and  $R[C_G]$  by the coefficients  $R$ ; thus, if  $\overline{\chi}$  takes values in the ring  $\mathbf{Z}_p[\zeta_{\overline{\chi}}]$ , then we have the equality in  $R \otimes \mathbf{Z}_p[\zeta_{\overline{\chi}}]$ :

$$0 = F \otimes \text{id}(\overline{\chi}(\phi(\log(1 + \overline{x})))) = \overline{\chi}(\phi(\log(1 + F\overline{x}))).$$

However, by Lemma 1.8 in Chapter 6 of [T] we know that for any character  $\chi$  of  $G$  the virtual character  $\psi^p(\chi)$  is inflated from  $\overline{G}$ . Thus for each character  $\chi$  of  $G$ , by the second

part of Lemma 3.2, we have equalities

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \chi(\nu \circ \text{Det}(1+x)) \\
&= p \log(\text{Det}(1+x)(\chi)) - \log(\text{Det}(1+Fx)(\psi^p \chi)) \\
&= p \log(\text{Det}(1+x)(\chi)).
\end{aligned}$$

By the first part of Lemma 3.2 this shows that  $\phi(\log(1+x)) = 0$ , as required.  $\square$

Proof of Theorem 3.16. By the above

$$\begin{aligned}
\ker(\nu') &= \text{Det}(1 + \mathcal{A}(R[G]))_{\text{tors}} \\
&\subset \text{Det}(1 + I(R[G]))_{\text{tors}} \cap \text{Det}(1 + \mathcal{A}(R[G])) \\
&\subset \text{Det}(G) \cap \text{Det}(1 + \mathcal{A}(R[G])) \\
&\subset \text{Det}([G, G]) = 1. \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

**3.c. The first exact sequence for determinants.** Here we assemble the above to complete the proof of Theorem 1.4 (a).

Using the fact that  $\text{Det}$  is an isomorphism on  $R[G^{\text{ab}}]^\times$ , from the above we now deduce that the following sequence is exact

$$(3.8) \quad 0 \rightarrow p\phi(\mathcal{A}(R[G])) \xrightarrow{\nu'^{-1}} \text{Det}(1 + I(R[G])) \rightarrow 1 + I(R[G^{\text{ab}}]) \rightarrow 1.$$

Using the splitting

$$\text{Det}((1 + R[G])) = \text{Det}(1 + I(R[G])) \times R^\times,$$

we get a further exact sequence

$$(3.9) \quad 0 \rightarrow p\phi(\mathcal{A}(R[G])) \xrightarrow{\nu'^{-1}} \text{Det}(R[G]^\times) \rightarrow R[G^{\text{ab}}]^\times \rightarrow 1.$$

Since  $R$  is torsion free, and  $\phi(\mathcal{A}(R[G]))$  is a free  $R$ -module,  $p\phi(\mathcal{A}(R[G])) \cong \phi(\mathcal{A}(R[G]))$ . This then completes the proof of Theorem 1.4 (a).  $\square$ .

**3.d. The second exact sequence; cokernel of the group logarithm.** Theorem 1.4 (b) now follows from:

**Theorem 3.17.** *Let  $G$  be a  $p$ -group. The following sequence is exact:*

$$(3.10) \quad \text{Det}(R[G]^\times) \xrightarrow{p^{-1}\nu} \phi(I(R[G])) \rightarrow \frac{R}{(1-F)R} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} G^{\text{ab}} \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. We begin by reducing to the case where  $G$  is abelian. For this we note from (3.9) and Theorem 3.4 that we have the following commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \rightarrow & \phi(\mathcal{A}) & \rightarrow & \text{Det}(1 + I(R[G])) & \rightarrow & 1 + I(R[G^{\text{ab}}]) \rightarrow 1 \\
& & \downarrow = & & \downarrow p^{-1}\nu_G & & \downarrow p^{-1}\nu_{G^{\text{ab}}} \\
0 & \rightarrow & \phi(\mathcal{A}) & \rightarrow & \phi(I(R[G])) & \rightarrow & I(R[G^{\text{ab}}]) \rightarrow 0.
\end{array}$$

So by the Snake lemma we conclude that  $\text{coker}(p^{-1}\nu_G) \cong \text{coker}(p^{-1}\nu_{G^{\text{ab}}})$ , which indeed means that we may now restrict our attention to the case when  $G$  is abelian.

By Proposition 3.11 we know that  $(p^{-1}\nu_G)(1 + I^2(R[G])) = I^2(R[G])$  and so to calculate the cokernel of  $p^{-1}\nu_G$ , it will suffice to determine  $(p^{-1}\nu_G)(1 + I(R[G])) \bmod I^2(R[G])$ .

Next we briefly recall the concept of the cotangent space at the origin for a commutative augmented  $R$ -algebra  $A$ . For such an algebra we write  $\varepsilon$  for the augmentation map and  $I_A$  for the augmentation ideal; so that we have a direct decomposition of  $R$ -modules  $A = R \oplus I_A$  and the cotangent space at the origin is

$$T_A^* = I_A / I_A^2.$$

For an element  $f \in I_A$  we shall write  $df$  for its image in  $T_A^*$ . For future reference note that

$$(3.11) \quad d \log(1 + f) = \frac{df}{1 + f} = df.$$

Suppose now that we can write the abelian  $p$ -group  $G$  as

$$G = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbf{Z}/p^{m_i} \mathbf{Z}$$

and we let  $g_i \in G$  be chosen with zero component away from  $i$  and to have  $i$ -th component a generator  $\mathbf{Z}/p^{m_i} \mathbf{Z}$ . Let  $R[T]$  denote the polynomial  $R$ -algebra  $R[T_1, \dots, T_n]$  of (commuting) indeterminates  $T_i$ . We write  $\theta : R[T] \rightarrow R[G]$  for the  $R$ -algebra homomorphism with the property that  $\theta(T_i) = g_i$ . We then have the following presentation of the group ring  $R[G]$  :

$$0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow R[T] \xrightarrow{\theta} R[G] \rightarrow 0$$

where  $N$  denotes the  $R[T]$ -ideal generated by the terms  $T_i^{p^{m_i}} - 1$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ; and we have the following equalities:

$$\begin{aligned} T_{R[T]}^* &= \bigoplus_{i=1}^n R \cdot d(T_i - 1), \\ dN &= \bigoplus_{i=1}^n p^{m_i} R \cdot d(T_i - 1), \\ T_{R[G]}^* &= \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \frac{R}{p^{m_i} R} \cdot d(g_i - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Recall that  $R$  supports a  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -algebra homomorphism  $F$  (the lift of Frobenius); we now vary from the convention established in the Introduction and extend  $F$  to  $R[T]$  and to  $R[G]$  by setting  $F(T_i) = T_i^p$  and  $F(g_i) = g_i^p$  for all  $i$ . The following result should properly be seen in the context of the action of Frobenius on differentials. An *ad hoc* treatment is provided here; for a full treatment the reader is referred to [I].

**Proposition 3.18.** (a) *There is an  $F$ -semi-linear homomorphism, also denoted  $F$  of  $T_{R[T]}^*$  with the property that  $pF \circ d = d \circ F$  and with  $F(r \cdot d(T_i - 1)) = F(r) \cdot d(T_i - 1)$ , for  $r \in R$ ; that is to say, since  $R$  has characteristic zero and since  $T_{R[T]}^*$  is  $R$ -free, for  $f \in I_{R[T]}$ , we have the equality*

$$(3.12) \quad F(df) = \frac{1}{p} \cdot d(F(f)).$$

(b)  $F$  maps  $dN$  into itself, and so induces an endomorphism of  $T_{R[G]}^*$ . Recall that for  $j \in I_{R[G]}$ ,

$$p^{-1}\mathcal{L}(1+j) = \log(1+j) - p^{-1}\log(1+F(j)) \in I_{R[G]}.$$

(c) For  $j \in I_{R[G]}$  we have

$$d(p^{-1}\mathcal{L}(1+j)) = (1-F)dj$$

and so

$$d(p^{-1}\mathcal{L}(1+I_{R[G]})) = (1-F)T_{R[G]}^*.$$

Proof. (a) For  $r \in R$ , we set  $F(r \cdot d(T_i - 1)) = F(r) \cdot d(T_i - 1)$ ; we then need only note that

$$\begin{aligned} d(F(r \cdot (T_i - 1))) &= d(F(r) \cdot (T_i^p - 1)) = F(r) \cdot d((T_i^p - 1)) \\ &= p F(r) \cdot T_i^{p-1} d((T_i - 1)) = p F(r) \cdot d((T_i - 1)). \end{aligned}$$

To prove (b) we choose  $f \in R[T]$  with  $\theta(f) = j$ . Then from (a) and (3.10) above we know that

$$d(\log(1+j) - p^{-1}\log(1+F(j))) = dj - p^{-1}d(F(j)) = (1-F)dj.$$

Applying  $\theta$  then gives the desired equality. Part (c) now follows.  $\square$

We now complete the proof of Theorem 3.17. Recall that we have reduced to the case in which  $G = G^{\text{ab}}$  is abelian. We write  $T_{R[G]}^* = R \otimes T_{\mathbf{Z}_p[G]}^*$ , and note that, since we have  $(1-F)(R \otimes T_{\mathbf{Z}_p[G]}^*) = (1-F)R \otimes T_{\mathbf{Z}_p[G]}^*$ , it now follows that

$$d(p^{-1}\mathcal{L}(1+I_{R[G]})) = (1-F)T_{R[G]}^* = (1-F)R \otimes T_{\mathbf{Z}_p[G]}^*$$

and

$$\frac{T_{R[G]}^*}{(1-F)T_{R[G]}^*} = \frac{R \otimes T_{\mathbf{Z}_p[G]}^*}{(1-F)R \otimes T_{\mathbf{Z}_p[G]}^*} = \frac{R}{(1-F)R} \otimes G$$

as required.  $\square$

As an immediate application we now prove:

**Lemma 3.19.** *Let  $c$  be a central element of order  $p$  with  $c \in [G, G]$ , and, as previously, we put  $\overline{G} = G/\langle c \rangle$ . Then the following map, induced by the group logarithm  $\nu$ , is an isomorphism:*

$$\ker(\text{Det}(1 + I(R[G])) \rightarrow \text{Det}(1 + I(R[\overline{G}])) \rightarrow \ker(\phi(I(R[G])) \rightarrow \overline{\phi}(I(R[\overline{G}])))$$

Proof. We set  $\mathcal{K}_1 = \ker [\text{Det}(1 + I(R[G])) \rightarrow \text{Det}(1 + I(R[\overline{G}]))]$  and similarly,  $\mathcal{K}_2 = \ker [\phi(I(R[G])) \rightarrow \overline{\phi}(I(R[\overline{G}]])]$  and consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \rightarrow & \mathcal{K}_1 & \rightarrow & \text{Det}(1 + I(R[G])) & \rightarrow & \text{Det}(1 + I(R[\overline{G}])) \rightarrow 1 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \rightarrow & \mathcal{K}_2 & \rightarrow & \phi(I(R[G])) & \rightarrow & \overline{\phi}(I(R[\overline{G}])) \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

where the vertical maps are induced by the group logarithm  $\nu$ . Since  $G^{\text{ab}} = \overline{G}^{\text{ab}}$ , we know that the central and right-hand vertical maps have the same kernel (by Theorem 3.14) and the same cokernel (by Theorem 3.17); therefore, by the snake lemma, we deduce that the left-hand vertical map is an isomorphism.  $\square$

#### 4. DESCENT AND FIXED POINTS FOR $p$ -GROUPS

**4.a. Fixed point theorem for  $p$ -groups.** In this subsection we adopt the notation of Theorem 1.5. Thus  $S$  is an extension ring of  $R$ , which is a finitely generated, free  $R$ -module; so that  $S$  is also  $p$ -adically complete. We suppose that  $S$  satisfies the remaining conditions in the Hypothesis stated in the Introduction; so that  $S$  is an integral domain of characteristic zero, which supports a lift of Frobenius. Note that we do not need to suppose that the lift of Frobenius  $F_R$  is compatible with the lift of Frobenius  $F_S$ , so that  $F_S|R$  need not equal  $F_R$ . Note also that at this point we do not need to suppose that these two rings are Noetherian and normal. We suppose that the field of fractions of  $S$  is Galois over  $N$  with Galois group  $\Delta$  acting on  $S$  with  $S^\Delta = R$ . Then, since  $S$  is finite over  $R$ , we know that  $(S^\times)^\Delta = R^\times$ .

The main result of this section is that, with the above notation and hypotheses,

**Theorem 4.1.** *We have the equality*

$$\text{Det}(1 + I(S[G]))^\Delta = \text{Det}(1 + I(R[G]));$$

hence using the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 \rightarrow & \text{Det}(1 + I(S[G]))^\Delta & \rightarrow & \text{Det}(S[G]^\times)^\Delta & \rightarrow & (S^\times)^\Delta & \rightarrow 1 \\ & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow = & \\ 1 \rightarrow & \text{Det}(1 + I(R[G])) & \rightarrow & \text{Det}(R[G]^\times) & \rightarrow & R^\times & \rightarrow 1 \end{array}$$

we see that  $\text{Det}(S[G]^\times)^\Delta = \text{Det}(R[G]^\times)$ .

*Proof.* We argue by induction on the order of  $G$ , and we note that the result is clear if  $G$  is abelian, since in that case we have

$$\text{Det}(S[G]^\times)^\Delta \cong (S[G]^\times)^\Delta = R[G]^\times \cong \text{Det}(R[G]^\times).$$

We therefore suppose that  $G$  is non-abelian. As previously we select a central commutator  $c \in G$  of order  $p$ , put  $\overline{G} = G/\langle c \rangle$ , and write  $q$  for the quotient map  $q : G \rightarrow \overline{G}$ ; then, by the induction hypothesis, the theorem is true for  $\overline{G}$ . We then have the commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 \rightarrow & p\phi(\mathcal{A}(R[G])) & \rightarrow & \text{Det}(1 + I(R[G])) & \rightarrow & 1 + I(R[G^{\text{ab}}]) & \rightarrow 1 \\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow q & & \downarrow = & \\ 0 \rightarrow & p\overline{\phi}(\mathcal{A}(R[\overline{G}])) & \rightarrow & \text{Det}(1 + I(R[\overline{G}])) & \rightarrow & 1 + I(R[\overline{G}^{\text{ab}}]) & \rightarrow 1. \end{array}$$

**Lemma 4.2.** *The map  $\nu$  of Corollary 3.3 induces an isomorphism*

$$(4.1) \quad \ker [\mathrm{Det}(1 + I(R[G])) \rightarrow \mathrm{Det}(1 + I(R[\overline{G}]))] \cong \mathrm{Det}(1 + (1 - c)R[G]).$$

Proof. By the snake lemma we have the equality

$$(4.2) \quad \ker (\mathcal{A}(R[G]) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(R[\overline{G}])) = \ker (R[G] \rightarrow R[\overline{G}]) = (1 - c)R[G].$$

Applying the snake lemma again we have

$$\ker [\mathrm{Det}(1 + I(R[G])) \rightarrow \mathrm{Det}(1 + I(R[\overline{G}]))] = \ker [\mathrm{Det}(1 + \mathcal{A}(R[G])) \rightarrow \mathrm{Det}(1 + \mathcal{A}(R[\overline{G}]])]$$

and by Theorem 3.16 this is isomorphic to

$$\ker [p\phi(\mathcal{A}(R[G]) \rightarrow p\phi(\mathcal{A}(R[\overline{G}]]) = p\phi((1 - c)R[G]) \cong \mathrm{Det}(1 + (1 - c)R[G])$$

with the latter isomorphism coming from Lemma 3.9.  $\square$

We now complete the proof of the Theorem 4.1. Suppose we are given

$$\mathrm{Det}(x) \in \mathrm{Det}(1 + I(S[G]))^\Delta$$

and we put  $\overline{x} = q(x)$ . Then since the theorem is true for  $\overline{G}$

$$\mathrm{Det}(\overline{x}) \in \mathrm{Det}(1 + I(S[\overline{G}]))^\Delta = \mathrm{Det}(1 + I(R[\overline{G}]))$$

and, because  $I(R[G])$  maps onto  $I(R[\overline{G}])$ , we know that  $q$  maps  $\mathrm{Det}(1 + I(R[G]))$  onto  $\mathrm{Det}(1 + I(R[\overline{G}]))$ . We can therefore find  $y \in 1 + I(R[G])$  such that  $q(\mathrm{Det}(xy^{-1})) = 1$ . By Lemma 4.2, with  $R$  replaced by  $S$ , we know that  $\mathrm{Det}(xy^{-1}) \in \mathrm{Det}(1 + (1 - c)S[G])^\Delta$ . Thus it will now suffice to show that

$$(4.3) \quad \mathrm{Det}(1 + (1 - c)S[G])^\Delta = \mathrm{Det}(1 + (1 - c)R[G]).$$

Unfortunately we cannot deduce this immediately, since the map  $\nu$  does not, in general, respect the action of  $\Delta$  (due to the appearance of the  $F$ -semilinear map  $\Psi$ ). However, we know from Lemma 3.7 that the map  $\Psi$  is null on  $(1 - c)S[G]$  and so the restriction of  $\mathcal{L}$  to  $1 + (1 - c)S[G]$  is just  $p$  times the usual  $p$ -adic logarithm of Proposition 2.4; thus we see that

$$\mathcal{L}_S \mid_{1+(1-c)R[G]} = \mathcal{L}_R \mid_{1+(1-c)R[G]}$$

and so

$$\nu_S \mid_{\mathrm{Det}(1+(1-c)R[G])} = \nu_R \mid_{\mathrm{Det}(1+(1-c)R[G])}$$

and that furthermore  $\mathcal{L}_S$ , resp.  $\nu_S$ , both commute with  $\Delta$ -action *when restricted to*  $1 + (1 - c)S[G]$ , resp.  $\mathrm{Det}(1 + (1 - c)S[G])$ . Thus by Lemma 3.10 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_S (\mathrm{Det}(1 + (1 - c)S[G])^\Delta) &\subset (p\phi((1 - c)S[G]))^\Delta \\ &= p\phi((1 - c)R[G]) \\ &= \nu_R (\mathrm{Det}(1 + (1 - c)R[G])) \\ &= \nu_S (\mathrm{Det}(1 + (1 - c)R[G])) \end{aligned}$$

and the result follows since, by Theorem 3.16,  $\nu_S$  is injective on  $\mathrm{Det}(1 + \mathcal{A}(S[G]))$ .  $\square$



**4.b. Norm maps for  $p$ -groups.** The notation and hypotheses are as in the previous subsection; in particular, we again impose the hypotheses of Theorem 1.5. Thus  $S$  is a ring extension of  $R$ , which is a finitely generated, free  $R$ -module, and we again suppose that both  $S$  and  $R$  satisfy the standing hypothesis. We also suppose that the field of fractions of  $S$  is a Galois extension of  $N$  with Galois group  $\Delta$ , which acts on  $S$  with the property that  $S^\Delta = R$ ; in addition we now also suppose that the trace map  $\text{Tr} : S \rightarrow R$  is surjective. Recall that, by taking bases, we have the co-restriction (or Fröhlich norm) map (see for instance 1.4 in [T])

$$\mathcal{N} : \text{Det}(S[G]^\times) \rightarrow \text{Det}(R[G]^\times)$$

which is characterized by the property

$$(4.4) \quad \mathcal{N}(\text{Det}(x)) = \prod_{\delta \in \Delta} \text{Det}(x^\delta) = \text{Det} \left( \prod_{\delta \in \Delta} x^\delta \right).$$

By considering the augmentation map  $G \rightarrow \{1\}$  and the abelianisation map  $G \rightarrow G^{\text{ab}}$ , it follows at once from the definitions that we have the inclusions

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}(\text{Det}(1 + I(S[G]))) &\subset \text{Det}(1 + I(R[G])) \\ \mathcal{N}(\text{Det}(1 + \mathcal{A}(S[G]))) &\subset \text{Det}(1 + \mathcal{A}(R[G])). \end{aligned}$$

With the above notation and hypotheses

**Theorem 4.3.** *We have equalities*

$$(4.5) \quad \mathcal{N}(\text{Det}(1 + I(S[G]))) = \text{Det}(1 + I(R[G]))$$

$$(4.6) \quad \mathcal{N}(\text{Det}(1 + \mathcal{A}(S[G]))) = \text{Det}(1 + \mathcal{A}(R[G]));$$

while the full norm map  $\mathcal{N} : \text{Det}(S[G]^\times) \rightarrow \text{Det}(R[G]^\times)$  is surjective if and only if we have  $N_{S/R}(S^\times) = R^\times$ .

*Proof.* We first prove (4.5) and (4.6); we then conclude by considering the full norm map.

*Step 1.* We first suppose that  $G$  is abelian. For brevity we write  $I_S = I(S[G])$ ,  $I_R = I(R[G])$  and we filter  $1 + I_S$ , resp.  $1 + I_R$ , by the subgroups  $\{(1 + I_S^n)\}_{n \geq 1}$ , resp.  $\{(1 + I_R^n)\}_{n \geq 1}$ . By use of successive approximation, the surjectivity of the trace, and the commutative squares:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (1 + I_S^n)/(1 + I_S^{n+1}) & \rightarrow & I_S^n/I_S^{n+1} = (I_R^n/I_R^{n+1}) \otimes_R S \\ \mathcal{N} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{Tr} \\ (1 + I_R^n)/(1 + I_R^{n+1}) & \rightarrow & (I_R^n/I_R^{n+1}). \end{array}$$

together with a standard convergence argument, it then follows that  $\mathcal{N}$  maps  $1 + I_S$  onto  $1 + I_R$ .

*Step 2.* Consider  $\text{Det}(x) \in \text{Det}(1 + I(R[G]))$  and let  $\tilde{x}$  denote the image of  $x$  in  $R[G^{\text{ab}}]$ . By the first step, we can find  $\tilde{y} \in 1 + I(S[G^{\text{ab}}])$  such that  $\mathcal{N}(\text{Det}(\tilde{y})) = \text{Det}(\tilde{x})$  and we can

then choose  $y \in 1 + I(S[G])$  with image equal to  $\tilde{y}$  in  $S[G^{\text{ab}}]$ . Then  $\text{Det}(x)\mathcal{N}(\text{Det}(\tilde{y}))^{-1} \in \text{Det}(1 + \mathcal{A}(R[G]))$  and so we are now reduced to showing

$$(4.7) \quad \mathcal{N}(\text{Det}(1 + \mathcal{A}(S[G]))) \supseteq \text{Det}(1 + \mathcal{A}(R[G])).$$

To prove the inclusion (4.7) we argue by induction on the order of the commutator group  $[G, G]$ . The inclusion is vacuous when  $G$  is abelian; so this starts our induction, and we now adopt the notation of the previous subsection; in particular we choose a central commutator  $c$  of order  $p$ , we put  $\overline{G} = G/\langle c \rangle$  and write  $q$  for the natural quotient map from  $G$  to  $\overline{G}$ . Let  $\text{Det}(x) \in \text{Det}(1 + \mathcal{A}(R[G]))$ . Then, by the induction hypothesis, we can find  $y \in 1 + I(S[G])$  such that  $\text{Det}(q(x)) = \mathcal{N}(\text{Det}(q(y)))$ ; hence by (4.2) in the proof of Lemma 4.2

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Det}(x)\mathcal{N}(\text{Det}(y))^{-1} &\in \ker [\text{Det}(1 + \mathcal{A}(R[G])) \rightarrow \text{Det}(1 + \mathcal{A}(R[\overline{G}]))] \\ &= \text{Det}(1 + (1 - c)R[G]). \end{aligned}$$

It will therefore suffice to show:

$$(4.8) \quad \mathcal{N}(\text{Det}(1 + (1 - c)S[G])) = \text{Det}(1 + (1 - c)R[G]).$$

As in the previous section we know that  $\Psi$  is null on  $(1 - c)S[G]$ ; hence the restriction of  $\nu_S$  to  $\text{Det}(1 + (1 - c)S[G])$  is a map of  $\Delta$ -modules and furthermore

$$\nu_S \mid_{\text{Det}(1+(1-c)S[G])} = \nu_R \mid_{\text{Det}(1+(1-c)R[G])},$$

as both sides agree with  $p\phi \circ \log$ . Hence the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Det}(1 + (1 - c)S[G]) & \xrightarrow{\nu_S} & p\phi((1 - c)S[G]) = p\phi((1 - c)R[G]) \otimes_R S \\ \downarrow \mathcal{N} & & \downarrow \text{Tr} \\ \text{Det}(1 + (1 - c)R[G]) & \xrightarrow{\nu_R} & p\phi((1 - c)R[G]) \end{array}$$

and the surjectivity of the left-hand vertical arrow follows from the surjectivity of the trace from  $S$  onto  $R$ .

To prove the final part of the theorem, we use the following commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 \rightarrow & \text{Det}(1 + I(S[G])) & \rightarrow & \text{Det}(S[G]^\times) & \rightarrow & S^\times & \rightarrow 1 \\ & \downarrow \mathcal{N} & & \downarrow \mathcal{N} & & \downarrow N & \\ 1 \rightarrow & \text{Det}(1 + I(R[G])) & \rightarrow & \text{Det}(R[G]^\times) & \rightarrow & R^\times & \rightarrow 1. \end{array}$$

□

## 5. CHARACTER ACTION AND REDUCTION TO ELEMENTARY GROUPS

**5.a. Character action on  $K_1$ .** In this section we do not require that  $R$  supports a lift of Frobenius. Let  $G_0(\mathbf{Z}_p[G])$  denote the Grothendieck group of finitely generated  $\mathbf{Z}_p[G]$ -modules and let  $G_0^{\mathbf{Z}_p}(\mathbf{Z}_p[G])$  denote the Grothendieck group of finitely generated  $\mathbf{Z}_p[G]$ -modules which are projective over  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ . From 38.42 and 39.10 in [CR2] we have:

**Proposition 5.1.** *There are isomorphisms*

$$G_0^{\mathbf{Z}_p}(\mathbf{Z}_p[G]) \cong G_0(\mathbf{Z}_p[G]) \cong G_0(\mathbf{Q}_p[G])$$

*with the first isomorphism induced by inclusion of categories and the second isomorphism induced by the extension of scalars map  $\otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \mathbf{Q}_p$ .*

**Proposition 5.2.** *The ring  $G_0^{\mathbf{Z}_p}(\mathbf{Z}_p[G])$  and hence, by the previous proposition,  $G_0(\mathbf{Q}_p[G])$ , acts naturally on  $K_1(R[G])$  via the rule that for an  $\mathbf{Z}_p[G]$ -lattice  $L$  and for an element of  $K_1(R[G])$  represented by a pair  $(P, \alpha)$  (where  $P$  is a projective  $R[G]$ -module and  $\alpha$  is an  $R[G]$ -automorphism of  $P$ ), then*

$$L \cdot (P, \alpha) = ((L \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} P), (1 \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \alpha)).$$

*The functor  $G \mapsto K_1(R[G])$  is a Frobenius module for the Frobenius functor  $G \mapsto G_0^{\mathbf{Z}_p}(\mathbf{Z}_p[G])$  (see page 4 in [CR2] and also [L]). The group  $SK_1(R[G])$  is a Frobenius submodule of  $K_1(R[G])$ . Therefore the action of  $G_0(\mathbf{Q}_p[G])$  on  $K_1(R[G])$  also induces an action on  $\text{Det}(\text{GL}(R[G]))$  (see Ullom's Theorem in 2.1 of [T], and see also below for his explicit description of this action)).*

Proof. From Ex 39.5 in [CR2] we know that  $G \mapsto K_1(\mathbf{Z}_p[G])$  is a Frobenius module for  $G \mapsto G_0^{\mathbf{Z}_p}(\mathbf{Z}_p[G])$ . Moreover the extension of scalars map  $G_0^{\mathbf{Z}_p}(\mathbf{Z}_p[G]) \rightarrow G_0^R(R[G])$  is a morphism of Frobenius modules over  $G_0^{\mathbf{Z}_p}(\mathbf{Z}_p[G])$  by 38.11 loc. cit.. The first part of the proposition follows. Because  $G_0^R(R[G]) \rightarrow G_0^{N^c}(N^c[G])$  is similarly a morphism of Frobenius modules over  $G_0^R(R[G])$ , it follows that the kernel

$$SK_1(R[G]) = \ker [K_1(R[G]) \rightarrow K_1(N^c[G])]$$

is also a Frobenius module over  $G_0^{\mathbf{Z}_p}(\mathbf{Z}_p[G])$ .  $\square$

Next we recall Ullom's explicit formula for the action of the character ring  $G_0(\mathbf{Q}_p[G])$  on  $\text{Det}(\text{GL}(R[G]))$ .

We view  $G_0(\mathbf{Q}_p[G])$  as the ring of characters of finitely generated  $\mathbf{Q}_p[G]$ -modules and we let  $\phi \in K_0(\mathbf{Q}_p^c[G])$  (which we identify with the ring of virtual  $\mathbf{Q}_p^c$ -valued characters of  $G$ ). We consider a pair  $(P, \alpha)$ , as above, and suppose that  $P \oplus Q = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n R[G]$ . Then  $(P, \alpha)$  is the element of  $K_1(R[G])$  given by the class  $r = \alpha \oplus \text{id}_Q \in \text{GL}_n(R[G])$  (see for instance 3.1.7 in [R]). Then Ullom has shown that the induced Frobenius action of  $G_0(\mathbf{Q}_p[G])$  on  $\text{Det}(K_1(R[G]))$  is given explicitly by

$$\theta \cdot (P, \alpha) = \theta \cdot (\phi \mapsto \text{Det}(r)(\phi)) = (\phi \mapsto \text{Det}(r)(\bar{\theta}\phi))$$

where  $\bar{\theta}$  denotes the contragredient of the character  $\theta$ . In particular we have the identity

$$(5.1) \quad (\text{Ind}_H^G \theta) \cdot \text{Det}(r) = \text{Ind}_H^G (\theta \cdot (\text{Res}_G^H (\text{Det}(r))))$$

which is one of the standard identities for Frobenius modules. The proofs of these standard facts are exactly the same as the proofs in Chapter 2 pages 21-25 in [T].

**Proposition 5.3.** *For each  $n \geq 1$ ,  $K_1(R[G], p^n)$  is a  $G_0^{\mathbf{Z}_p}(\mathbf{Z}_p[G])$ -Frobenius submodule of  $K_1(\mathbf{Z}_p[G])$ , and so the action of  $G_0^{\mathbf{Z}_p}(\mathbf{Z}_p[G])$  on  $SK_1(R[G])$  induces a Frobenius module structure on  $\widehat{SK}_1(R[G])$ .*

Proof. The first part follows from the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K_1(R[G], p^n) \rightarrow K_1(R[G]) \rightarrow K_1(R_n[G]) \rightarrow 0$$

and the fact that the extension of scalars map  $G_0^R(R[G]) \rightarrow G_0^{R_n}(R_n[G])$  is a morphism of Frobenius modules, so that the kernel in the above exact sequence is also a  $G_0^R(R[G])$  Frobenius submodule of  $K_1(R[G])$ . The second part then follows since the image of  $SK_1(R[G])$  in  $K_1(R_n[G])$  is a Frobenius module, because it is naturally isomorphic to

$$\frac{SK_1(R[G]) + K_1(R[G], p^n)}{K_1(R[G], p^n)}. \quad \square$$

**5.b. Brauer Induction.** Let  $\mu_m$  denote the group of roots of unity of order  $m$  in  $\mathbf{Q}_p^c$ . We then identify  $\text{Gal}(\mathbf{Q}_p(\mu_m)/\mathbf{Q}_p)$  as a subgroup of  $(\mathbf{Z}/m\mathbf{Z})^\times$  in the usual way. Recall that a semi-direct product of a cyclic group  $C$  (of order  $m$ , say, which is coprime to  $p$ ) by a  $p$ -group  $P$ ,  $C \rtimes P$ , is called  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ - $p$ -elementary (see page 112 in [S]) if, for each  $\pi \in P$ , there exists

$$t = t(\pi) \in \text{Gal}(\mathbf{Q}_p(\mu_m)/\mathbf{Q}_p) \subseteq (\mathbf{Z}/m\mathbf{Z})^\times$$

such that for all  $c \in C$

$$\pi c \pi^{-1} = c^t.$$

**Theorem 5.4.** *For a given finite group  $G$ , there exists an integer  $l$  coprime to  $p$  such that*

$$lG_0(\mathbf{Q}_p[G]) \subseteq \sum_J \text{Ind}_J^G(G_0(\mathbf{Q}_p[J]))$$

where  $J$  ranges over the  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ - $p$ -elementary subgroups of  $G$ .

Proof. See Theorem 28 in [S].  $\square$

## 6. DETERMINANTS FOR ELEMENTARY GROUPS.

Throughout this section we shall suppose that, in addition to the standing hypotheses,  $R$  is also a normal Noetherian ring. We begin with an algebraic result which we shall require later in this section.

Suppose that  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is the ring of integers of a finite non-ramified extension  $K$  of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ . Recall that  $N$  is the field of fractions of  $R$ . Let  $S$  denote the ring  $R \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}_K$  and let  $M$  be the ring of fractions of  $S$ . Since  $M$  is a separable  $N$ -algebra, it can be written as a finite product of field extensions  $M_i$  of  $N$ :

$$(6.1) \quad M = \prod_{i=1}^n M_i.$$

Since  $K$  is a finite non-ramified extension of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ , we know that  $S$  is étale over  $R$  and hence is normal (see e.g. page 27 in [Mi]). If  $S_i$  is the normalization of  $R$  in  $M_i$ , then

$$(6.2) \quad S = \prod_{i=1}^n S_i.$$

**Lemma 6.1.** *Let  $F$  denote the lift of Frobenius on  $S$  given by the tensor product of the lift of Frobenius of  $R$  with the Frobenius automorphism of  $\mathcal{O}_K$ ; then  $F(S_i) \subset S_i$ .*

Proof. Let  $\{e_i\}$  denote the system of primitive orthogonal idempotents associated to the above product decomposition of  $S$ . As  $F$  is a  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -algebra endomorphism, we know that  $\{F(e_i)\}$  is a system of orthogonal idempotents with

$$1 = \sum_{i=1}^n F(e_i)$$

and so this system corresponds to a decomposition of the commutative algebra  $S$  into  $n$  components. Since the decomposition of Noetherian commutative algebras into indecomposable algebras is unique, we must have  $F(e_i) = e_{\pi(i)}$  for some permutation  $\pi$  of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ . It will suffice to show that the permutation  $\pi$  is the identity. Suppose for contradiction that for some  $i$ , we have  $\pi(i) = j \neq i$ . We know by definition that

$$F(e_i) \equiv e_i^p = e_i \pmod{pS}$$

and so

$$e_i \equiv F(e_i) \cdot e_i \equiv e_j \cdot e_i = 0 \pmod{pS}.$$

However, by Theorem 6.7 (page 123) of [CR1] we know that, since  $pS$  is contained in the radical of  $S$ ,  $e_i \pmod{pS}$  must be a primitive idempotent of  $S/pS$ , and so we have the desired contradiction.  $\square$

**6.a.  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ - $p$ -elementary groups.** Suppose  $G$  is a  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ - $p$ -elementary group, so that  $G$  may be written as a semi-direct product  $C \rtimes P$ , where  $C$  is a cyclic normal subgroup of order  $s$ , which is prime to  $p$ , and where  $P$  is a  $p$ -group. We decompose the commutative group ring  $\mathbf{Z}_p[C]$  according as the divisors  $m$  of  $s$

$$(6.3) \quad \mathbf{Z}_p[C] = \prod_m \mathbf{Z}_p[m],$$

where  $\mathbf{Z}_p[m]$  is the semi-local ring

$$\mathbf{Z}_p[m] = \mathbf{Z}[\zeta_m] \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Z}_p$$

and where  $\zeta_m$  is a primitive  $m$ th root of unity in  $\mathbf{Q}_p^c$ . For brevity we set  $R[m] = R \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \mathbf{Z}_p[m]$ , and we note that by Lemma 6.1  $R[m]$  decomposes as a product of integral domains each of which is  $p$ -adically complete and which possesses a lift of Frobenius given by the restriction of the tensor product of the lift of Frobenius of  $R$  and the Frobenius automorphism of  $\mathbf{Z}_p[m]$ .

For each  $m$  the conjugation action of  $P$  on  $C$  induces a homomorphism  $\alpha_m : P \rightarrow \text{Aut}\langle \zeta_m \rangle$  and we let  $H_m = \ker(\alpha_m)$  and  $A_m = \text{Im}(\alpha_m)$ .

Tensoring the decomposition (6.3) with  $\otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p[C]} R[G]$  affords a decomposition of  $R$ -algebras

$$(6.4) \quad R[G] = \prod_m R[m] \circ P$$

where  $R[m] \circ P$  denotes the natural twisted group ring. We shall study the determinant group  $\text{Det}(\text{GL}(R[G]))$  by studying the various subgroups  $\text{Det}(\text{GL}(R[m] \circ P))$ . Note that the twisted group ring  $R[m] \circ P$  contains the standard group ring  $R[m][H_m]$ . We therefore have the inclusion map  $i : R[m][H_m] \rightarrow R[m] \circ P$ . We also have a restriction map defined by choosing a transversal  $\{a_i\}$  of  $P/H_m$ : This induces a restriction homomorphism

$$\text{res} : \text{GL}_n(R[m] \circ P) \rightarrow \text{GL}_{n|A_m|}(R[m][H_m]).$$

By Proposition 3.1 (b) we know that  $\text{Det}(\text{GL}(R[m][H_m])) = \text{Det}(R[m][H_m]^\times)$  and so we have defined the composition:

$$(6.5) \quad r_m : \text{Det}(\text{GL}_n(R[m] \circ P)) \rightarrow \text{Det}(\text{GL}_{n|A_m|}(R[m][H_m])) \rightarrow \text{Det}(R[m][H_m]^\times).$$

Since for  $\pi \in P$ ,  $x \in R[m] \circ P^\times$ , we know that  $\text{Det}(\pi x \pi^{-1}) = \text{Det}(x)$ , we see that

$$r_m : \text{Det}(\text{GL}_n(R[m] \circ P)) \rightarrow \text{Det}(R[m][H_m]^\times)^{A_m}.$$

Here  $A_m$  acts via  $\alpha_m$  on  $R[m]$  and by conjugation on  $H_m$ . From (3.8) on page 69 of [T] we know that  $r_m$  is injective. Note for future reference that for  $x \in R[m][H_m]^\times$ ,  $i(x)$  is mapped by restriction to the diagonal matrix  $\text{diag}(x^{a_i})$ ; thus we write  $\text{Det}(x)$  for the usual element of  $\text{Det}(R[m][H_m]^\times)$  whereas  $\text{Det}(i(x))$  denotes an element of  $\text{Det}((R[m] \circ P)^\times)$ . These two determinants are related by the identity

$$r_m(\text{Det}(i(x))) = \prod_{a \in A_m} \text{Det}(x^a) \stackrel{\text{defn}}{=} N_{A_m}(\text{Det}(x)).$$

Next we describe  $\text{Det}((R[m] \circ P)^\times)$ , and more generally  $\text{Det}(\text{GL}(R[m] \circ P))$ , and the maps  $i$  and  $r_m$  in terms of character functions. In Lemma 6.8 we shall see that every irreducible character of  $G$  may be written in the form  $\text{Ind}_{H_m}^G(\phi_m)$  where  $\phi_m$  is an abelian character of  $H_m$  with the property that the restriction of  $\phi_m$  to  $C$  has order  $m$ , for some  $m$ . With this notation the elements  $\text{Det}(i(x))$  in  $\text{Det}(R[m] \circ P^\times)$  are character functions on such  $\text{Ind}_{H_m}^G(\phi_m)$  with

$$\text{Det}(i(x)) (\text{Ind}_{H_m}^G \phi_m) = r_m(\text{Det}(i(x))) (\phi_m) = \prod_{a \in A_m} \text{Det}(x^a) (\phi_m).$$

It is also instructive to see the above in the context of K-theory. We then have induction and restriction maps

$$\begin{aligned} i_* & : K_1(R[m][H_m]) \rightleftharpoons K_1(R[m] \circ P) : r_m \\ i_* & : \text{Det}(R[m][H_m]^\times) \rightleftharpoons \text{Det}((R[m] \circ P)^\times) : r_m. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we have the corresponding maps on the representation rings

$$K_0(\mathbf{Q}_p^c[m][H_m]) \hookrightarrow K_0(\mathbf{Q}_p^c[m] \circ P)$$

and by Mackey theory the induction map  $i = \text{Ind}_{H_m}^P$  maps the ring  $K_0(\mathbf{Q}_p^c[m][H_m])$  onto  $K_0(\mathbf{Q}_p^c[m] \circ P)$ . (see page 68 in [T]).

Let  $I_{H_m}$ , resp.  $I_P$ , denote the augmentation ideal of  $R[m][H_m]$ , resp. the two sided  $R[m] \circ P$ -ideal generated by  $I_{H_m}$ . The main result of this subsection is to show:

**Theorem 6.2.** (a) *The map  $r_m$  defined in (6.5) gives an isomorphism*

$$r_m : \text{Det}(\text{GL}(R[m] \circ P)) = \text{Det}((R[m] \circ P)^\times) \xrightarrow{r_m} \text{Det}(R[m][H_m]^\times)^{A_m}.$$

(b) *The restriction of  $r_m$  induces an isomorphism*

$$\text{Det}(\text{GL}(R[m] \circ P, I_P)) = \text{Det}(1 + I_P) \xrightarrow{r_m} \text{Det}(1 + I_{H_m})^{A_m}.$$

Proof. From now on we fix  $m$  and therefore drop the index  $m$  wherever possible. We first prove (a). We have seen that  $r_m$  is injective on  $\text{Det}(\text{GL}(R[m] \circ P))$ ; we now show that  $r_m$  maps  $\text{Det}((R[m] \circ P)^\times)$  onto  $\text{Det}(R[m][H]^\times)^A$ .

First we put  $\tilde{P} = P/[H, H]$  and we note that from Theorem 8.3 page 614 of [W2], the ring  $R[m] \circ \tilde{P}$  is isomorphic to the ring of  $|A| \times |A|$  matrices over  $(R[m][H^{\text{ab}}])^A$ . Thus we see that  $r$  induces an isomorphism

$$(6.6) \quad \text{Det}((R[m] \circ \tilde{P})^\times) \cong (R[m][H^{\text{ab}}])^{A \times}.$$

From (3.9) and Theorem 3.16 (which trivially extends to products of rings, since formation of determinants commutes with ring products) and using (6.6), we have a commutative diagram with exact top row:

$$(6.7) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 \rightarrow & \text{Det}(1 + \mathcal{A}(R[m][H]))^A & \rightarrow & \text{Det}(R[m][H]^\times)^A & \rightarrow & (R[m][H^{\text{ab}}])^{A \times} \\ & \uparrow & & \uparrow r & & \uparrow \cong \\ & \text{Det}(i(1 + \mathcal{A}(R[m][H]))) & \subset & \text{Det}((R[m] \circ P)^\times) & \xrightarrow{q} & \text{Det}((R[m] \circ \tilde{P})^\times). \end{array}$$

It will therefore suffice to show

$$r(\text{Det}(i(1 + \mathcal{A}(R[m][H]))) \supseteq \text{Det}(1 + \mathcal{A}(R[m][H]))^A$$

and this follows from the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Det}(1 + \mathcal{A}(R[m][H])) & \xrightarrow{\nu} & \phi(\mathcal{A}(R[H])) \otimes_R R[m] \\ \downarrow r & & \downarrow \text{Tr} \\ \text{Det}(1 + \mathcal{A}(R[m][H]))^A & \xrightarrow{\nu^A} & (\phi(\mathcal{A}(R[H])) \otimes_R R[m])^A. \end{array}$$

Recall that  $F$  is the tensor product of the lift of Frobenius on  $R$  with the Frobenius automorphism of  $\mathbf{Q}_p(\zeta_m)/\mathbf{Q}_p$ . Note also that  $A$  acts on  $R[m] = R \otimes \mathbf{Z}_p[m]$  via the second factor; so, because  $G$  is  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ - $p$ -elementary, the action of  $A$  on  $\langle \chi(G) \rangle$  factors through  $\text{Gal}(\mathbf{Q}_p(\zeta_m)/\mathbf{Q}_p)$ ; this guarantees the actions of  $F$  and  $A$  commute; hence  $\nu$  is an isomorphism of  $A$ -modules, and this then gives the bottom row in the above diagram.

Since  $R[m]$  is a free  $R[A]$ -module, it follows that  $\phi(\mathcal{A}(R[H])) \otimes_R R[m]$  is a projective  $R[A]$ -module (with diagonal action); and so  $\text{Tr}$ , and therefore  $r$ , is surjective. This then completes the proof of part (a).

The proof of (b) is entirely similar. Firstly, we note that the above mentioned result of Wall is functorial, so that we have a diagram induced by the augmentation map  $H^{\text{ab}} \rightarrow \{1\}$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & I_{\tilde{P}} & \rightarrow & R[m] \circ \tilde{P} & \rightarrow & R[m] \circ A & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \rightarrow & M_{|A|}(I_{H^{\text{ab}}}^A) & \rightarrow & M_{|A|}(R[m][H^{\text{ab}}]^A) & \rightarrow & M_{|A|}(R[m]^A) & \rightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

Here the central and the right-hand, and hence also the left-hand, vertical maps are isomorphisms. Secondly, we may restrict (6.7) to get the following commutative diagram, where we use the above to deduce the extreme right-hand vertical isomorphism:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 \rightarrow & \text{Det}(1 + \mathcal{A}(R[m][H]))^A & \rightarrow & \text{Det}(1 + I_H)^A & \rightarrow & (1 + I_{H_m^{\text{ab}}})^{A \times} \\ & \uparrow & & \uparrow r & & \uparrow \cong \\ & \text{Det}(i(1 + \mathcal{A}(R[m][H]))) & \rightarrow & \text{Det}(1 + I_P) & \xrightarrow{q} & \text{Det}(1 + I_{\tilde{P}}) \rightarrow 1. \quad \square \end{array}$$

**Proposition 6.3.** *We have the equality*

$$N_A(\text{Det}(1 + I_H)) = \text{Det}(1 + I_H)^A$$

and so

$$\text{Det}(1 + I_P) = \text{Det}(i(1 + I_H)).$$

Proof. For brevity we put  $I = I(R[m][H])$ ,  $\bar{I} = I(R[m][H^{\text{ab}}])$ . Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & \phi(\mathcal{A}) \otimes R[m] & \rightarrow & \text{Det}(1 + I) & \rightarrow & 1 + \bar{I} & \rightarrow & 1 \\ & & \text{Tr}_A \downarrow \uparrow & & N_A \downarrow \uparrow & & N_A \downarrow \uparrow & & \\ 0 & \rightarrow & (\phi(\mathcal{A}) \otimes R[m])^A & \rightarrow & \text{Det}(1 + I)^A & \rightarrow & 1 + \bar{I}^A & \rightarrow & 1. \end{array}$$

The top row is exact by (3.8). The second row is exact on taking  $A$ -fixed points and noting, as previously that  $\phi(\mathcal{A})$  is a cohomologically trivial  $A$ -module. We have seen previously that  $\text{Tr}_A$  is surjective. The surjectivity of the norm map  $N_A : 1 + \bar{I} \rightarrow 1 + \bar{I}^A$  is standard and follows by forming an  $A$ -stable filtration of  $1 + I(R[H^{\text{ab}}])$  whose subquotients are isomorphic to  $R/pR$ ; thus, when the filtration is extended to  $1 + \bar{I} = 1 + I(R[m][H^{\text{ab}}])$ , then on such subquotients the norm identifies with the trace mod  $p$  and we argue as before; see page 71 in [T] for details.  $\square$

Finally we show:

**Theorem 6.4.** *Let  $S$  be a ring which contains  $R$ , with both  $S$  and  $R$  being Noetherian normal rings which satisfy the hypotheses stated in the Introduction. Suppose further that  $S$  is a finitely generated free  $R$ -module and that the field of fractions of  $S$  is Galois over  $N$  with Galois group  $\Delta$ , which acts on  $S$  as  $R$ -algebra automorphisms, with the property*



that  $S^\Delta = R$ . Let  $G$  be a finite  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ - $p$ -elementary group and let  $\Delta$  act coefficientwise on  $\text{Det}(S[G]^\times)$ ; then

$$\text{Det}(\text{GL}(R[G])) = \text{Det}(R[G]^\times)$$

and

$$\text{Det}(\text{GL}(S[G]))^\Delta = \text{Det}(\text{GL}(R[G])).$$

Proof. The first equality follows from the decomposition (6.4) together with Theorem 6.2. We now prove the second equality. By (6.4) and Theorem 6.2

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Det}(\text{GL}(S[G]))^\Delta &= \oplus_m \text{Det}(S[m] \circ P^\times)^\Delta \\ &= \oplus_m (\text{Det}(S[m][H_m]^\times)^{A_m})^\Delta. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that  $\Delta$  acts via the first term in  $S[m] \circ P = S \otimes_R (R[m] \circ P)$  and that  $A_m$  acts via the second term; hence the actions of  $\Delta$  and  $A_m$  commute on  $S[m][H_m] = (S \otimes_R R[m])[H_m]$ ; hence we see that

$$\text{Det}(\text{GL}(S[G]))^\Delta = \oplus_m (\text{Det}(S[m][H_m]^\times))^{A_m \times \Delta} = \oplus_m (\text{Det}(S[m][H_m]^\times)^\Delta)^{A_m}$$

and so by Theorems 4.1 and 6.2 together with (6.5) we have equalities

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Det}(\text{GL}(S[G]))^\Delta &= \oplus_m \text{Det}(R[m][H_m]^\times)^{A_m} \\ &= \oplus_m \text{Det}(R[m] \circ P^\times) \\ &= \text{Det}(\text{GL}(R[G])). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

**Application.** We conclude this subsection by considering the implications of the above result for an *arbitrary* finite group  $\tilde{G}$ . We again suppose  $S$  to be as Theorem 6.4. From 12.6 in [S] we know that we can find an integer  $l$  which is prime to  $p$ ,  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ - $p$ -elementary subgroups  $H_i$  of  $\tilde{G}$ , integers  $n_i$ , and  $\theta_i \in K_0(\mathbf{Q}_p[H_i])$ , such that

$$l \cdot 1_G = \sum_i n_i \cdot \text{Ind}_{H_i}^{\tilde{G}}(\theta_i).$$

Thus, given  $\text{Det}(x) \in \text{Det}(\text{GL}(S[\tilde{G}]))^\Delta$ , then by the Frobenius structure of the module  $\text{Det}(\text{GL}(S[\tilde{G}]))$  over  $K_0(\mathbf{Q}_p[H_i])$  (see §5.a) we have

$$\text{Det}(x)^l = \prod_i \text{Ind}_{H_i}^{\tilde{G}}(\theta_i \cdot \text{Res}_{\tilde{G}}^{H_i}(\text{Det}(x)))^{n_i}.$$

However, Theorem 6.4 above implies that

$$\theta_i \cdot \text{Res}_{\tilde{G}}^{H_i}(\text{Det}(x)) \in \text{Det}(S[H_i]^\times)^\Delta = \text{Det}(R[H_i]^\times).$$

Thus we have shown

**Theorem 6.5.** *For any finite group  $\tilde{G}$  each element in the quotient group*

$$\text{Det}(\text{GL}(S[\tilde{G}]))^\Delta / \text{Det}(\text{GL}(R[\tilde{G}]))$$

*has finite order which is prime to  $p$ .*

**6.b.  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ - $\ell$ -elementary groups.** We consider a prime  $\ell \neq p$  and a  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ - $\ell$ -elementary group  $G$ : thus  $G$  may be written as  $(C \times C') \rtimes L$  where  $C$  is a cyclic  $p$ -group,  $C'$  is a cyclic group of order prime to  $p\ell$  and  $L$  is an  $\ell$ -group. In this section we show:

**Theorem 6.6.** *If  $G$  is a  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ - $\ell$ -elementary group, then  $\text{Det}(S[G]^\times)^\Delta = \text{Det}(R[G]^\times)$  and  $\text{Det}(\text{GL}(R[G])) = \text{Det}(R[G]^\times)$ .*

Then, reasoning as in the Application in the previous section, we can immediately deduce

**Theorem 6.7.** *For an arbitrary finite group  $\tilde{G}$  each element of the quotient group*

$$\text{Det}(\text{GL}(S[\tilde{G}]))^\Delta / \text{Det}(\text{GL}(R[\tilde{G}]))$$

*has finite order which is prime to  $\ell$ .*

This, together with Theorem 6.5 above, will then establish Theorem 1.5.

Prior to proving Theorem 6.6, we first need to recall three preparatory results:

**Lemma 6.8.** *Each irreducible character  $\chi$  of  $G$  can be written in the form  $\text{Ind}_H^G \phi$ , where  $\phi$  is an abelian character of a subgroup  $H$  which contains  $C \times C'$ .*

Proof. See 8.2 in [S].  $\square$

**Proposition 6.9.** *Let  $\mathcal{O}$  denote the ring of integers of the finite extension of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  generated by the values of all characters of  $G$ , let  $\mathfrak{m}$  denote the maximal ideal of  $\mathcal{O}$ , and let  $\mathcal{P}$  denote the  $R \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}$ -ideal generated by  $\mathfrak{m}$ . With the notation of the previous lemma, we write  $\phi = \phi' \phi_p$  where  $\phi'$ , resp.  $\phi_p$ , has order prime to  $p$ , resp.  $p$ -power order; and we put  $\chi' = \text{Ind}_H^G \phi'$ . Then for  $r \in \text{GL}(R[G])$  we have the congruence*

$$\text{Det}(r)(\chi - \chi') \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathcal{P}}.$$

Proof. See Theorem 15A on page 66 of [F].  $\square$

**Proposition 6.10.** *Put  $G' = G/C$ . Then  $\mathbf{Z}_p[G']$  is a split maximal  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -order, that is to say it is a product of matrix rings*

$$\mathbf{Z}_p[G'] = \prod_i \text{M}_{n_i}(\mathcal{O}_i)$$

*over (local) rings of integers  $\mathcal{O}_i$ . Thus we have the equalities*

$$\text{Det}(\text{GL}(R[G'])) = \prod (R \otimes \mathcal{O}_i)^\times = \text{Det}(R[G']^\times)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Det}(S[G']^\times)^\Delta &= \prod \text{Det}((S \otimes \mathcal{O}_i)^\times)^\Delta = \prod (S \otimes \mathcal{O}_i)^{\times \Delta} \\
&= \prod (R \otimes \mathcal{O}_i)^\times = \prod \text{Det}((R \otimes \mathcal{O}_i)^\times) \\
&= \text{Det}(R[G']^\times).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. See Theorem 41.1 and Theorem 41.7 of [Re].  $\square$

Proof of Theorem 6.6. We start by proving the first part. Suppose, as previously, that  $G$  is a  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ - $\ell$ -elementary group and that we are given  $\text{Det}(z) \in \text{Det}(S[G]^\times)^\Delta$  and let  $z'$  denote the image of  $z$  in  $S[G']$ . Then by Proposition 6.10 we know that we can find  $x' \in R[G']^\times$  with  $\text{Det}(x') = \text{Det}(z')$ ; moreover, since the kernel of the map  $R[G] \rightarrow R[G']$  lies in the radical of  $R[G]$ , we can of course find  $x \in R[G]^\times$  with image  $x'$  in  $R[G']^\times$ . Thus, to conclude, it will be sufficient to show that  $\text{Det}(zx^{-1})$  is in  $\text{Det}(R[G]^\times)$ . However, by construction,  $\text{Det}(zx^{-1})$  is trivial on characters inflated from  $G'$ , and so by Proposition 6.9 we see that

$$\text{Det}(zx^{-1})(\chi) = \text{Det}(zx^{-1})(\chi - \chi') \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathcal{P}}$$

and so we conclude that  $\text{Det}(zx^{-1})$  is a pro  $p$ -element of  $\text{Det}(S[G']^\times)^\Delta$ . But by Theorem 6.5 we know that  $\text{Det}(zx^{-1})$  has image in the quotient group  $\text{Det}(S[G]^\times)^\Delta / \text{Det}(R[G]^\times)$  of finite order which is prime to  $p$ . Therefore we may deduce that  $\text{Det}(zx^{-1})$  is in  $\text{Det}(R[G]^\times)$ .

To prove the second part let  $I_C$  denote the two-sided  $R[G]$ -ideal generated by the augmentation ideal  $I(R[C])$  of  $R[C]$ . We then have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
1 & \rightarrow & K_1(R[G], I_C) & \rightarrow & K_1(R[G]) & \rightleftharpoons & K_1(R[G']) & \rightarrow & 1 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
1 & \rightarrow & \text{Det}(\text{GL}(R[G]), I_C) & \rightarrow & \text{Det}(\text{GL}(R[G])) & \rightleftharpoons & \text{Det}(\text{GL}(R[G'])) & \rightarrow & 1
\end{array}$$

the top row is exact by (2.1); this is split exact since the map  $G \rightarrow G'$  is split; hence the lower row is split exact. The result then follows since by Proposition 6.10 and Lemma 2.2 (b) we have

$$\text{Det}(\text{GL}(R[G'])) = \text{Det}(R[G']^\times), \text{ and } \text{Det}(\text{GL}(R[G], I_C)) = \text{Det}(\text{GL}(1 + I_C)). \quad \square$$

We can now prove Theorem 1.2 (b) of the Introduction. Let  $r \in \text{GL}_n(R[G])$ . By Brauer induction we can write

$$1_G = \sum_H n_H \cdot \text{Ind}_H^G(\theta_H)$$

where the  $H$  range over the  $\mathbf{Q}_r$ -elementary subgroups of  $G$  for different primes  $r$ , the  $n_H$  are integers and  $\theta_H$  are  $\mathbf{Q}_r$ -characters of  $H$ . From (5.1) we have

$$\text{Det}(r) = \sum_H n_H \text{Ind}_H^G(\theta_H \cdot \text{Res}_G^H(\text{Det}(r))).$$

Now by Theorems 6.4 and 6.6 we know that for each  $H$ ,  $\text{Det}(\text{GL}(R[H])) = \text{Det}(R[H]^\times)$ , and so  $\theta_H \cdot \text{Res}_G^H(\text{Det}(r))$  lies in  $\text{Det}(R[H]^\times)$ . The result then follows since  $\text{Ind}_H^G$  maps  $\text{Det}(R[H]^\times)$  into  $\text{Det}(R[G]^\times)$ .  $\square$

## 7. APPENDIX: TORSION DETERMINANTS

Here we sketch the proof of Theorem 3.14. The proof is essentially the same as that given by Wall for the case where  $R$  is a  $p$ -adic ring of integers and proceeds in three steps. In this section we assume only that  $R$  is  $p$ -adically complete torsion free integral domain.

*Step 1.  $G$  abelian.* We argue by induction on the order of  $G$ . The result is trivial if  $G = \{1\}$  and this starts the induction. We choose an element  $c \in G$  of order  $p$  and put  $\overline{G} = G/\langle c \rangle$ . Consider  $x \in (1 + I(R[G]))_{\text{tors}}$ . By the induction hypothesis, we know that, after multiplying  $x$  by an element of  $G$ , we can assume that the image of  $x$  in  $R[\overline{G}]$  is 1, i.e.  $1 - x \in (1 - c)R[G]$ , say

$$(7.8) \quad 1 - x = (1 - c)y, \text{ with } y \in R[G].$$

Now choose an abelian character  $\theta$  of  $G$  which is non-trivial on  $c$  and suppose for contradiction that we can find such a  $\theta$  with  $\theta(x) \neq 1$ ; by (7.8) above, we see that  $\theta(1 - c)$  divides  $\theta(1 - x)$  and so  $\theta(x)$  must be a primitive  $p$ -th root of unity. Multiplying  $x$  by a suitable power  $c^a$  of  $c$  we can get  $\theta(x) = 1$ ; moreover, the above work remains valid with this new  $x$  but now we also know that  $\theta(y) = 0$  and so we can write

$$(7.9) \quad y \in \sum_g R(g - \theta(g)).$$

If  $x = 1$  we are done; otherwise, by the above, we choose a further abelian character  $\eta$  such that  $\eta(x)$  is a root of unity of  $p$ -power order different from 1. Then from (7.8) we get

$$\eta(1 - x) = \eta(1 - c)\eta(y).$$

Clearly we cannot have  $\eta(c) = 1$ , for otherwise the right-hand term vanishes; thus  $\eta(1 - c)$  and  $\eta(1 - x)$  must be associates; this, however, is absurd since by (7.9)  $\eta(y)$  is a non-unit.

*Step 2.* Next we consider the special situation where  $G$  contains an abelian subgroup  $H$  of index  $p$ . We again suppose we have  $x \in 1 + I(R[G])$  with the property that  $\text{Det}(x)$  is  $p$ -power torsion, and we show  $\text{Det}(x) \in \text{Det}(G)$ .

Choosing a transversal of  $G/H$  we get a homomorphism

$$N : R[G]^\times \rightarrow \text{GL}_p(R[H]) \xrightarrow{\det} R[H]^\times.$$

From 1.4 in [T] we have the restriction map  $\text{Res}_G^H : \text{Det}(\text{GL}(R[G])) \rightarrow \text{Det}(\text{GL}(R[H]))$  with the property that

$$(7.10) \quad \text{Res}_G^H(\text{Det}(x)) = \text{Det}(N(x)).$$

Choose  $\gamma \in G - H$  and let  $\alpha$  denote the automorphism of  $H$  given by conjugation by  $\gamma$ ; let  $T$  denote the submodule of  $R[G]$

$$T = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^p \alpha^i(x) \mid x \in R[G] \right\}$$

and let  $t : G \rightarrow H$  be the transfer homomorphism. As per Lemma 1.7 on page 47 of [T] we have

**Lemma 7.1.** *For  $x = \sum_{g \in G} x_g g \in R[G]^\times$ , we have*

$$N(x) \equiv \sum_{g \in G} x_g^p t(g) \pmod{T}. \quad \square$$

We now complete the proof of this second step. By Step 1 we know that, after multiplying by a suitable element of  $G$  we may assume that  $x$  has trivial image in  $R[G^{\text{ab}}]$ . We now show that for such  $x$  with  $\text{Det}(x)$  torsion, we must have  $\text{Det}(x) = 1$ . To show this we must show that for any non-abelian irreducible character  $\chi$  of  $G$ ,  $\text{Det}(x)(\chi) = 1$ . Now, by standard theory, all such  $\chi$  are of the form  $\text{Ind}_H^G(\theta)$  for some abelian character  $\theta$  of  $H$ . Since for a character  $\theta$  of  $H$

$$\text{Det}(x)(\text{Ind}_H^G \theta) = (\text{Res}_G^H \text{Det}(x))(\theta)$$

by (7.10) it is enough to show  $N(x) = 1$ . Note that since  $x$  has trivial image in  $R[G^{\text{ab}}]$ ,

$$\text{Det}(N(x))(1_H) = (\text{Res}_G^H \text{Det}(x))(1_H) = \text{Det}(x)(\text{Ind}_H^G 1_H) = 1,$$

and so  $N(x) \in 1 + I(R[H])$ . Moreover by Step 1, since  $H$  is abelian, we know that  $N(x) = h$  for some  $h \in H$ . Again we write  $x = \sum_{g \in G} x_g g$ ; since  $x$  has trivial image in  $R[G^{\text{ab}}]$ , we deduce that for each  $f \in G$

$$\sum_{g \in f[G, G]} x_g = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } f \in [G, G] \\ 0 & \text{if } f \notin [G, G] \end{cases}$$

so raising to the  $p$ -th power we get

$$\sum_{g \in f[G, G]} x_g^p \equiv \begin{cases} 1 \pmod{p} & \text{if } f \in [G, G] \\ 0 \pmod{p} & \text{if } f \notin [G, G]. \end{cases}$$

The restriction of  $t$  to  $[G, G]$  is trivial and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} h &= N(x) \equiv \sum_{g \in G} x_g^p t(g) \pmod{T} \\ &\equiv \sum_{f \in G/[G, G]} t(f) \sum_{g \in f[G, G]} x_g^p \pmod{T} \\ &\equiv 1 \pmod{(T, p)} \end{aligned}$$

and so  $h - 1 \in (T, p)$ . However,  $(T, p) \cap R \subset pR$ , and so we conclude that  $h = 1$ , as required.

*Step 3.* Consider an arbitrary finite  $p$ -group  $G$  and  $\text{Det}(x) \in \text{Det}(1 + I(R[G]))_{\text{tors}}$ . By Step 1 we may assume that, after multiplying by a suitable group element,  $\tilde{x}$ , the image of  $x$  in  $R[G^{\text{ab}}]$ , is 1. We must show that  $\text{Det}(x)(\chi) = 1$  for each non-abelian irreducible character  $\chi$  of  $G$ . By standard character theory we can write  $\chi = \text{Ind}_H^G(\theta)$  for some subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  of index  $p$ ; we then put  $\text{Det}(x') = \text{Res}_G^H(\text{Det}(x))$ . Since  $\text{Det}(x)$  has trivial image in  $R[G^{\text{ab}}]$ , it follows that

$$\text{Det}(x')(1_H) = \text{Res}_G^H(\text{Det}(x))(1_H) = \text{Det}(x)(\text{Ind}_H^G 1_H) = 1.$$

So by Proposition 3.1 (a),

$$\text{Det}(x') \in \text{Det}(\text{GL}_p(R[H], I(R[H]))) = \text{Det}(R[H]^\times)$$

that is to say we have now shown that we can take  $x' \in 1 + I(R[H])$  (and not just in  $\text{GL}_p(R[H])$ .) We then consider the commutative diagram with natural maps:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Det}(1 + I(R[G])) & \xrightarrow{r} & \text{Det}(1 + I(R[G/[H, H]])) & \xrightarrow{q} & \text{Det}(1 + I(R[G^{\text{ab}}])) \\ \downarrow \text{Res} & & \downarrow \text{Res} & & \\ \text{Det}(1 + I(R[H])) & \xrightarrow{r'} & \text{Det}(1 + I(R[H^{\text{ab}}])) & & \end{array}$$

To conclude: by Step 2 we know that  $r(\text{Det}(x)) = 1$ ; hence by the commutative diagram

$$r' \circ \text{Res}_G^H(\text{Det}(x)) = r'(\text{Det}(x')) = 1.$$

By induction on the group order, we know that  $\text{Det}(x') = 1$ , and thus

$$\text{Det}(x)(\chi) = \text{Det}(x)(\text{Ind}_H^G \theta) = \text{Res}_G^H(\text{Det}(x))(\theta) = \text{Det}(x')(\theta) = 1. \quad \square$$

## REFERENCES

- [AM] M. F. Atiyah, I. G. Macdonald: Introduction to commutative algebra, Addison-Wesley, 1969.
- [CE] T. Chinburg, B. Erez: Equivariant Euler-Poincaré characteristics and tameness, *Astérisque* 209 (1992), 179-194.
- [CPT1] T. Chinburg, G. Pappas and M. J. Taylor,  $K_1$  of a  $p$ -adic group ring II. The determinantal kernel  $SK_1$ , to appear.
- [CPT2] T. Chinburg, G. Pappas and M. J. Taylor, Non-abelian Riemann-Roch theorem, to appear.
- [C] R. Coleman, Torsion points on curves and  $p$ -adic Abelian integrals, *Ann. Math.*, 121 (1985), 111-165.
- [CR1] C. Curtis, I. Reiner: Methods in representation theory (with applications to finite groups and orders), vol 1, Wiley classics, 1990.
- [CR2] C. Curtis, I. Reiner: Methods in representation theory (with applications to finite groups and orders), vol 2, Wiley classics, 1994.
- [I] L. Illusie, Complexe de de Rham-Witt et cohomologie cristalline, *Ann. scient. Ec Norm. Sup.*, 4<sup>e</sup> série, t.12, 1979, 501-661.
- [F] A. Fröhlich, Galois module structure of algebraic integers, Springer-Verlag, *Ergebnisse* 3 Folge, Band 1, 1983.

- [FK] T. Fukaya, K. Kato: A formulation of conjectures on  $p$ -adic zeta functions in non-commutative Iwasawa theory. Proceedings of the St. Petersburg Mathematical Society. Vol. XII, 1–85, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2, 219, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006.
- [K] K. Kato,  $K_1$  of some non-commutative completed group rings, K-theory, vol 34, No. 2 (2005), 99-140.
- [L] T. Y. Lam, Introduction: Theorems for Grothendieck groups and Whitehead groups of finite groups, Ann. Sci. Ec. Norm. Sup1 (1968), 91-148.
- [Mi] J. Milne, Etale cohomology, Princeton: Princeton University Press 1980.
- [M] J. Milnor, Introduction to algebraic K-theory, Annals of Math. Studies, vol 72, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1971.
- [OI] R. Oliver,  $SK_1$  for finite group rings I, Inventiones Math., 57 (1980), 183-204; correction *ibid* 64 (1981).
- [O2] R. Oliver,  $SK_1$  for finite group rings II, Math. Scand., 47 (1980), 195-231.
- [O3] R. Oliver,  $SK_1$  for finite group rings III, Algebraic K-theory, Evanston, 1980.
- [O4] R. Oliver,  $SK_1$  for finite group rings IV, Proc. L.M.S., (3) 46 (1983), 1-37.
- [Re] I. Reiner, Maximal Orders, L.M.S. Monographs New series 28, O.U.P., 2003.
- [RW] J. Ritter and A. Weiss, The integral logarithm in Iwasawa theory: an exercise, arxiv: 0711.0600
- [R] J. Rosenberg, Algebraic K-theory, Springer G.T.M. 147, 1996.
- [S] J.-P. Serre, Représentations linéaires des groupes finis, Hermann Paris, 2-ième édition, 1971.
- [T] M.J. Taylor, Classgroups of group rings, L.M.S. Lecture Notes 91, C.U.P. 1984.
- [W1] C. T. C. Wall, On the classification of hermitian forms III, Inventiones Math. 19, 1973, 59-71.
- [W2] C. T. C. Wall, Norms of units in group rings, Proc. L.M.S (3) 29 (1974), 593-632.

TED CHINBURG, DEPT. OF MATH, UNIV. OF PENN., PHILA. PA. 19104, U.S.A.

*E-mail address:* `ted@math.upenn.edu`

GEORGIOS PAPPAS, DEPT. OF MATH, MICHIGAN STATE UNIV., EAST LANSING, MI 48824, U.S.A.

*E-mail address:* `pappas@math.msu.edu`

MARTIN J. TAYLOR, SCHOOL OF MATH., UNIV. OF MANCHESTER, MANCHESTER, M60 1QD, U.K.

*E-mail address:* `Martin.Taylor@manchester.ac.uk`